

President

Steven W. Miller

Procter & Gamble Co. Vice President

David J. Kappos

IBM Corp. Treasurer

Douglas K. Norman

Eli Lilly and Co.

April 29, 2008

Hon. Jon Dudas Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and

Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) Mail Stop Comments—

Commissioner for Trademarks

P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

ATTN: Mary Hannon

Submitted by email to: [tmmailingrules@uspto.gov](mailto:tmmailingrules@uspto.gov)

Re: IPO Comments on Changes in Rules Regarding Filing Trademark

Correspondence by Express Mail or Under a Certificate of Mailing or

Transmission, 73 Fed. Reg. 11079 (February 29, 2008)

Dear Director Dudas:

IPO welcomes the opportunity to comment on the proposed rules that would amend 37 C.F.R. 2.197 and 2.198.

IPO is a trade association representing owners of patents, trademarks copyrights and trade secrets in all industries and fields of technology. Our current membership includes more than 250 companies and 10,000 individuals involved in IPO through their companies or in other member classes.

The proposed rule changes would eliminate the current express mail and certificate of mailing procedures for all trademark filings for which a form is available in the USPTO's Trademark Electronic Application System ("TEAS"). IPO is a strong advocate for the "paperless" filing of trademark documents and understands that approximately 90% of all correspondence with the USPTO is currently filed electronically.

Although computers have benefited trademark practice greatly by speeding communication and providing easy access to information that was previously difficult to obtain, computer mishaps still occur and it is often beneficial to provide low tech back-up procedures. The express mail and certificate of mailing procedures provide trademark practitioners with an important safeguard by which they can obtain a filing date when electronic filing is difficult or impractical. For example, these procedures can be, and are, utilized by practitioners experiencing difficulties with their computer or network or encountering problems with the USPTO website. Although the USPTO Rules do provide mechanisms for obtaining a filing date when the TEAS system is down, these procedures are not available for other potential technical and computer problems that may be encountered. In those instances, practitioners may be forced to pay for and file a formal petition to revive an application, thereby increasing the administrative burden on the USPTO and practitioners.

Directors

Marc S. Adler

Rohm and Haas Co.

Angelo N. Chaclas  
Pitney Bowes Inc.  
William J. Coughlin  
Ford Global Technologies LLC  
Timothy Crean  
SAP, AG  
Pamela R. Crocker  
Eastman Kodak Co.  
Mark W. Croll  
Illinois Tool Works Inc.  
Gerald V. Dahling  
Sanofi-Aventis  
Paul T. Dietz  
Seagate Technology, LLC  
Luke R. Dohmen  
Boston Scientific Corp.  
Bart Eppenauer  
Microsoft Corp.  
Joseph T. FitzGerald  
Symantec Corp.  
Scott M. Frank  
AT&T  
Gary C. Ganzi  
Siemens Water Technologies Corp.  
Michael L. Glenn  
Dow Chemical Co.  
Bernard J. Graves, Jr.  
Eastman Chemical Co.  
Gary L. Griswold  
3M Innovative Properties Co.  
Krish Gupta  
EMC Corporation  
Harry J. Gwinnell  
Cargill, Incorporated  
Jack E. Haken  
Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V.  
Stephen D. Harper  
Henkel Corp.  
Robert P. Hayter  
United Technologies Corp.  
William B. Heming  
Caterpillar Inc.  
Dennis R. Hoerner, Jr.  
Monsanto Co.  
Carl B. Horton  
General Electric Co.

Philip S. Johnson  
Johnson & Johnson  
Charles M. Kinzig  
GlaxoSmithKline  
David J. Koris  
Shell International B.V.  
Noreen A. Krall  
Sun Microsystems, Inc.  
William C. Lee, III  
Coca-Cola Co.  
Jonathan P. Meyer  
Motorola, Inc.  
Jeffrey L. Myers  
Adobe Systems Inc.  
Richard F. Phillips  
Exxon Mobil Corp.  
Peter C. Richardson  
Pfizer, Inc.  
Mark L. Rodgers  
Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.  
Robert R. Schroeder  
Mars Incorporated  
Jeffrey A. Sedlar  
General Motors Corp.  
Suzanne Shema  
ZymoGenetics, Inc.  
David Simon  
Intel Corp.  
Russ Slifer  
Micron Technology, Inc.  
Brian W. Stegman  
BASF Corp.  
Brian K. Stierwalt  
ConocoPhillips  
Thierry Sueur  
Air Liquide  
Pamela Tondreau  
Hewlett-Packard Co.  
James J. Trussell  
BP America, Inc.  
Michael Walker  
DuPont  
Stuart Watt  
Amgen, Inc.  
General Counsel  
Eva H. Davis

Kirkland and Ellis, LLP

Executive Director Herbert C. Wamsley

1255 Twenty-Third Street, NW, Suite 200 . Washington, DC 20037-1174

T: 202-466-2396 . F: 202-466-2893 . E: info@ipo.org . W: www.ipo.org

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION

IPO Comments Regarding Filing Trademark Correspondence (73 Fed. Reg. 11079)

April 29, 2008

Additionally, the proposed changes could have a significant impact on those who may be less familiar with the USPTO's electronic filing system, including pro se applicants and registrants, foreign applicants and registrants, and practitioners who do not specialize in trademark law. Also, practitioners outside the Washington, D.C. area would not have the option of a paper filing, while those in Washington, D.C. would still have the option of hand delivery for filings. Further, those outside the U.S. Eastern Time zone may not have the option of working with the TEAS helpdesk in instances when they are experiencing computer problems or difficulties with the TEAS system outside U.S. Eastern Time business hours.

We believe the potential hardships would outweigh the administrative benefits brought about by a slight increase in electronic filings. Given the relatively small number of such filings and the importance of providing an alternate means for obtaining a filing date through "paper filing" procedures, IPO opposes the proposed changes.

Thank your for your consideration of our views.

President

- 2 -