

THIS DISPOSITION IS NOT  
CITABLE AS PRECEDENT OF  
THE TTAB

Mailed: 2/25/04

Paper No. 17  
ejs

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

Trademark Trial and Appeal Board

---

In re Modern Builders Supply, Inc.

---

Serial No. 78061994

---

Oliver E. Todd, Jr. of MacMillan, Sobanski & Todd, LLC for  
Modern Builders Supply, Inc.

Tonja M. Gaskins, Trademark Examining Attorney, Law Office  
112 (Janice O'Lear, Managing Attorney).

---

Before Seeherman, Hairston and Drost, Administrative  
Trademark Judges.

Opinion by Seeherman, Administrative Trademark Judge:

Modern Builders Supply, Inc. has appealed from the  
final refusal of the Trademark Examining Attorney to  
register THERMALTECH as a trademark for "non-metal windows  
and doors."<sup>1</sup> Registration has been refused pursuant to

---

<sup>1</sup> Application Serial No. 78061994, filed May 4, 2001. The  
application was originally based on an asserted bona fide  
intention to use the mark in commerce. After a notice of

Trademark Rules 2.56 and 2.88(b)(2), on the basis that applicant failed to submit a specimen showing use of the applied-for mark for the identified goods.

Applicant and the Examining Attorney have filed appeal briefs. Applicant did not request an oral hearing.

Trademark Rule 2.88(b)(2) provides that the Statement of Use must include "one specimen of the mark as actually used in commerce." It refers to Trademark Rule 2.56 for the requirements for specimens. Trademark Rule 2.56(a) provides, in part, that a statement of use under Rule 2.88 must include one specimen showing the mark as used on or in connection with the goods. Rule 2.56(b)(1) further provides, in part, that a trademark specimen is a label, tag, or container for the goods, or a display associated with the goods."

In order to determine whether applicant's specimen shows the mark as used on the goods, we must examine the specimen itself. Applicant has submitted what it describes as "a label that is affixed to all windows and doors or their packaging manufactured by Polaris Technologies." Response dated October 8, 2002. Applicant has further

---

allowance issued, applicant filed its Statement of Use on May 31, 2002. The refusal which is the subject of this appeal stems from the examination of the Statement of Use.

explained that Polaris Technologies is applicant's window and door manufacturing division. The "label" is shown below, in reduced form:



As can be seen on the reproduced specimen, the applied-for mark THERMALTECH is shown on the label in a box headed, "Look for these other fine Polaris® products..." There follows a list of various trademarks, including ENERGY WALL, ENERGYTECH, ENERGYWELD, MASTERTECH, MASTERCRAFT and EVERVIEW. Included in this list is THERMALTECH.

It is clear to us, as it would be clear to anyone viewing this specimen, that the mark for the product on which the label appears is POLARIS, and that THERMALTECH,

Ser No. 78061994

while a mark used by applicant's Polaris division, is not the mark under which the goods to which the label is applied are sold. On the contrary, the label states unequivocally that THERMALTECH is a trademark for an "other" Polaris product. Accordingly, the label which applicant has submitted as its specimen does not show use of the applied-for mark for the goods.

Decision: The refusal of registration is affirmed.