



OCT 11 2000

In re

:
: DECISION ON
: PETITION FOR REGRADE
: UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 10.7(c)
:

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

(petitioner) requests for regrading questions 16 and 20 of the morning section of the Registration Examination held on April 12, 2000. The petition is denied to the extent petitioner seeks a passing grade on the Registration Examination.

BACKGROUND

An applicant for registration to practice before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) in patent cases must achieve a passing grade of 70 in both the morning and afternoon sections of the Registration Examination. Petitioner scored 68. On July 27, 2000, petitioner requested regrading, arguing that the model answers were incorrect.

As indicated in the instructions for requesting regrading of the Examination, in order to expedite a petitioner's appeal rights, all regrade requests have been considered in the first instance by the Director of the USPTO.

OPINION

Under 37 C.F.R. § 10.7(c), petitioner must establish any errors that occurred in the grading of the Examination. The directions state: "No points will be awarded for incorrect answers or unanswered questions." The burden is on petitioners to show that their chosen answers are the most correct answers.

The directions to the morning and afternoon sections state in part:

Do not assume any additional facts not presented in the questions. When answering each question, unless otherwise stated, assume that you are a registered patent practitioner. Any reference to a practitioner is a reference to a registered patent practitioner. The most correct answer is the policy, practice, and procedure which must, shall, or should be followed in accordance with the U.S. patent statutes, the PTO rules of practice and procedure, the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), and the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) articles and rules, unless modified by a subsequent court decision or a notice in the *Official Gazette*. There is only one most correct answer for each question. Where choices (A) through (D) are correct and choice (E) is "All of the above," the last choice (E) will be the most correct answer and the only answer which will be accepted. Where two or more choices are correct, the most correct answer is the answer which refers to each and every one of the correct choices. Where a question includes a statement with one or more blanks or ends with a colon, select the answer from the choices given to complete the statement which would make the statement true. Unless otherwise explicitly stated, all references to patents or applications are to be understood as being U.S. patents or regular (non-provisional) utility applications for utility inventions only, as opposed to plant or design applications for plant and design inventions. Where the terms "USPTO," "PTO," or "Office" are used in this examination, they mean the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Petitioner has presented various arguments attacking the validity of the model answers.

All of petitioner's arguments have been fully considered. Each question in the Examination is worth one point.

Petitioner has been awarded one point for morning question 20. Accordingly, petitioner has been granted additional one point on the Examination. However, no credit has been awarded for morning question 16. Petitioner's arguments for this question is addressed below.

Morning question 16 reads as follows:

16. A patent specification discloses a personal computer comprising a microprocessor and a random access memory. There is no disclosure in the specification of the minimum amount of storage for the random access memory. In the disclosed preferred embodiment, the microprocessor has a clock speed of 100-200 megahertz. Claims 9 and 10, presented below, are original claims in the application. Claim 11, presented below, was added by amendment after an Office action.

9. A personal computer comprising a microprocessor and a random access memory including at least 1 gigabyte of storage.

10. The personal computer of Claim 9, wherein the microprocessor has a clock speed of 100-200 megahertz.

11. The personal computer of Claim 10, wherein the random access memory is greater than $\frac{1}{2}$ gigabyte of storage.

Which of the following statements is or are true about the respective claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112, fourth paragraph?

- (A) Claim 9 is a proper independent claim, and Claims 10 and 11 are proper dependent claims.
- (B) Claim 9 is a proper independent claim, and Claims 10 and 11 are improper dependent claims.
- (C) Claim 9 is an improper independent claim, and Claims 10 and 11 are improper dependent claims.
- (D) Claim 9 is an improper independent claim, and Claims 10 and 11 are proper dependent claims.
- (E) Claim 9 is a proper independent claim, Claim 10 is a proper dependent claim, and Claim 11 is an improper dependent claim.

The model answer is choice (E).

(E) is the most correct answer. Claim 9, though broad, is supported by the specification. The minimum memory recited in the claim as original disclosure, is self-supporting. 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Claim 10 is a proper dependent claim because it depends from and further restricts the scope of a preceding claim. 37 CFR 1.75(c). Claim 11 is an improper dependent claim because it expands upon, as opposed to further restricts, the scope of claim

10. Claim 10, depending on Claim 9, has a 1 gigabyte memory minimum, whereas Claim 11 expands upon the minimum memory by setting a lower minimum of ½ gigabyte.

Petitioner argues that answer (C) is the most correct. Petitioner contends that claim 9 is improper because the specification did not provide support for the claim limitation, the minimum amount of storage for the random access memory. According to petitioner, claims 10 and 11 depended on an improper claim must also be improper. Petitioner concludes that answer (E) is incorrect and maintains that answer (C) is correct.

Petitioner's argument has been fully considered but is not persuasive. Claim 9 is an original presented claim which is part of the disclosure as filed. According to MPEP 608.01(I), an original claim that includes subject matter not described should not be attacked either by objection or rejection. It is the description that is defective, not the claim. See MPEP 608.01(I). Therefore claim 9 is a proper independent claim.


Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, paragraph 4, a claim in dependent form shall contain a reference to a claim previously set forth and then specify a further limitation of the subject matter claimed. Since claim 9 is a proper independent claim and claim 10 further limits the claim subject matter by specifying the clock speed, therefore claim 10 is a proper dependent claim. Claim 11, however, is improper because it expands the subject matter in claim 9. The statement in answer (E) is correct. No error in grading has been shown. Petitioner's request for credit on this question is denied.

ORDER

For the reasons given above, one point has been added to petitioner's score on the Examination. Therefore, petitioner's score is adjusted to 69. This score is insufficient to pass the Examination.

Upon consideration of the request for regrade to the Director of the USPTO, it is ORDERED that the request for a passing grade on the Examination is denied.

This is a final agency action.



Robert J. Spar
Director, Office of Patent Legal Administration
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy