

From: Ken Hill [mailto:UXXfYgg`fYXUWfYX]
Sent: Sunday, January 29, 2012 5:40 PM
To: aia_implementation
Subject: inter-partes review

Thank you for allowing me to comment on the AIA law. I am an independent inventor living in Hawaii. While reading the new inter-partes review rules under AIA (on the USPTO website, of course) I took issue with the following:

"A petitioner may request to cancel as unpatentable 1 or more claims of a patent based on §§ 102, 103 using patents or printed publications. "

You see, in our 21st Century modern society, many forms of prior art have emerged, including moving pictures such as online videos, commercials, movies, etc. I am filing a utility application tomorrow, in fact, and one of my main prior art references is a chewing gum commercial that aired some years ago-they never made a print advertisement for it that fully shows the relevant prior art, but the video footage of the commercial is highly relevant to my invention.

Based on the above, I would respectfully ask you to also consider adding "or other relevant media fully disclosing...relevant prior art", or similar language to that effect, to the inter-partes review "rule language".

Aloha pumehana,

Ken Hill
Independent Inventor and holder of 4 U.S. Patents