

Proposed rule 1.501(a)(2) should require information allowing 3rd parties to identify the source Federal court proceeding from which the documents were sourced.

As described, the Fed. Reg. suggests only that additional information *\*should\** be provided, listed six items on V77/#3/p444/C1: (1) Forum, (2) proceeding designation, (3) proceeding status, (4) relationship of proceeding to the patent, (5) identification of the papers sourced, and (6) which portions of those papers are being used.

However, the proposed rule does not require this information, nor any other information sufficient for another party (including the Office) to discover the actual source of the alleged statements. This information is uniquely situated with the 3rd party submitter.

Because the applicant should have the ability to ensure the submission is complete, and the public and Office should be given the opportunity to see the statements in context, information sufficient to positively identify the proceeding(s) these materials were sourced from should be required of the submitted.

Accordingly, please adjust proposed rule to REQUIRE submissions under 1.501(a)(2) include at least the following:  
(1) Forum, (2) proceeding designation, (5) identification of the papers from such proceeding used, and (6) which portions of those papers are being used.