

From: weimin Zhang [mailto:redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2014 8:59 PM
To: myriad-mayo_2014
Subject: Comments for the enhancement of patent quality

1. Clarify the metrics of how to locate the merits for judge whether an application significantly more than other technical solutions exactly;
2. How to determine the accurate boundary of an application difference from other technical solutions;
3. How to determine the accurate extent out of the merits which may significantly more than other solutions is reached the line of grant patent or not grant patent;
4. Any judicial metrics and determination of merits, boundary and extent out, shall not given in concept of written or oral, at least given a granted patent solution to compare with, otherwise, can not clear the boundary or extent out of a metric or determination between rule and real determination which practiced in granted patents, whereon shorten or deleted the deviation of concept rules with practiced cases, and exactly specified, cleared the technical solution in argument. e.g.
sum up the same characteristics of all technical solutions from all US granted patents to clarify what common shared is fit the criteria of grant patent, meanwhile, sum up how determined the extent out which differences from each others of every US granted patent is significantly more than any technical solution existed before application (must give out real example, no which no patent law practiced testament). Furthermore, the patent examiner must give out an whole existed solution before
reject a solution (not allow examiner give out a part or parts technic to assemble a solution to compare and reject on the ground of it), no an whole existed solution no reject ground.
5. Set forth articles written clearly what's an whole solution which in law of grant patent and what's an assembled solution which out law of grant patent. Foreexample, an application of technical solution of torch, which composed of three parts of little bulb, little switch and portable power storage. Whether patent examiner can reject the solution ground on the bulb, switch and power storage all been used to public houses, the technology of little bulb, switch and power storage is an assembled solution without technical quality which out law of grant patent, and reject to give out an existed case of granted patent's technical solution to compare with what's composed an in law granted patent solution. Whether the rejection of torch which brought significantly convenience to thousands and thousands peoples as well as others equal or similar to it is satisfied the social development and the purpose of patent law.

Sincerely
Zhang weimin
2014-07-24