

From: Kyle Conway [e-mail redacted]
Sent: Saturday, September 25, 2010 4:18 PM
To: Bilski_Guidance [e-mail redacted]
Subject: A Strong Stand Against Software Patents

Software patents have only ever made my use of computers more difficult and painful as a user. After learning about free software I began to attempt to exclusively use that... but this is increasingly difficult as a student and an instructor when patents threaten academic institutions' ability (and desire to) support and use open source software across the board. Please bring common sense back into the conversation when considering these revisions. Computers are important, their uses are important, and our personal freedoms are important. If the Remix Culture Lessig speaks about is truly taking place (and it is and has been) then patents only serve to limit human progress in digitally creative endeavors. Please support the public good.

"Software patents hurt individuals by taking away our ability to control the devices that now exert such strong influence on our personal freedoms, including how we interact with each other. Now that computers are near-ubiquitous, it's easier than ever for an individual to create or modify software to perform the specific tasks they want done -- and more important than ever that they be able to do so. But a single software patent can put up an insurmountable, and unjustifiable, legal hurdle for many would-be developers.

The Supreme Court of the United States has never ruled in favor of the patentability of software. Their decision in *Bilski v. Kappos* further demonstrates that they expect the boundaries of patent eligibility to be drawn more narrowly than they commonly were at the case's outset. The primary point of the decision is that the machine-or-transformation test should not be the sole test for drawing those boundaries. The USPTO can, and should, exclude software from patent eligibility on other legal grounds: because software consists only of mathematics, which is not patentable, and the combination of such software with a general-purpose computer is obvious." (via: eff.org)

Sincerely,

Kyle R. Conway