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Exceptions to the broad patent-eligibility principles of Section 101

• The ABA-IPL appreciates the Office’s public outreach efforts through the use of forums and round table events.

• The ABA-IPL is reviewing the newly issued guidelines carefully and will provide written comments to the Office.

• The following presentation is an overview of certain aspects of the American Bar Association’s current policy position on subject matter eligibility.
Exceptions to the broad patent-eligibility principles of Section 101

• The American Bar Association supports the principle that laws of nature, physical phenomena, and abstract ideas are not eligible for patenting under 35 U.S.C § 101, even if they had been previously unknown or unrecognized.
The Claim Must Be Read as a Whole

• The American Bar Association supports the principle that a process meets the requirements of Section 101 where—
  1. the claimed process *as a whole*, other than a mental process, is limited to a specific application of a law of nature, natural phenomenon, or abstract idea; or
  2. the claimed process requires or involves a transformation of matter.
No Conflation of 101 with Other Statutory Requirements

- The American Bar Association supports the principle that the inquiry into subject matter eligibility for patenting under 35 U.S.C. § 101 is a *separate and distinct* requirement for patent eligibility which should be *resolved independently from* the conditions of patentability under Sections 102 and 103, and the requirements for obtaining a valid patent under Section 112.
  - The American Bar Association opposes importing Section 102, 103 or 112 criteria into the patent eligibility analysis.