



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
PO BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55440-1022

MAILED
DEC 01 2011
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Patent No. 7,983,897 :
Chin, et al. : DECISION FOR REQUEST
Issue Date: July 19, 2011 : FOR RECONSIDERATION
Application No. 11/675,034 : OF PATENT TERM
Filed: February 14, 2007 : ADJUSTMENT
Attorney Docket No. 16113-0421001 :

This is a decision on the "Response to Decision on Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment", filed October 24, 2011. Patentees request that the patent term adjustment indicated on the face of the Letters of Patent be corrected from nine hundred and sixty (960) days, to one thousand, eighty-six (1,086) days.

The request for reconsideration is granted to the extent that the determination has been reconsidered; however, the request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment is DENIED.

BACKGROUND

On July 19, 2011, the subject application matured into U.S. patent No. 7,983,897, with a revised patent term adjustment of nine hundred and seventy-four (974) days. This revised determination included entry of an additional period of adjustment of three hundred and sixty (360) days for the Office taking in excess of three years to issue the patent.

On September 19, 2011, a petition under 37 CFR 1.705(d) was filed requesting that patent term adjustment be reflected as one thousand, eighty-six (1,086) days.

By decision mailed September 22, 2011, the request for reconsideration was granted only to the extent that the patent term adjustment was reduced by 14 days pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704(c)(8). The revised patent term adjustment was corrected to nine hundred and sixty (960) days.

On October 24, 2011, this request for reconsideration of the decision was filed.

By the instant petition, patentees again dispute the calculation of the "B" delay period of the patent term adjustment. Specifically, patentees' state:

Patentees submit that B Delay accumulated for a total of 520 days, beginning on February 15, 2010 (the day after the date that is three years after the date on which the application was filed), and ending July 19, 2011 (the date the patent was issued). The Office has excluded from B Delay the number of days corresponding to the period beginning on February 10, 2011 (the date on which a Request for Continued Examination was filed) and ending on July 19, 2011 (the date the patent was issued). However, this entire period should not be excluded from B delay because it does not correspond in its entirety to continued examination. The Examiner's mailing of the Notice of Allowance Action on March 16, 2011, closed examination of the application on that date. Section 154(b)(1)(B)(i) of Title 35 excludes from B Delay "time consumed by continued examination of the application. The statute does not provide for exclusion from B Delay of time from the mailing of a Notice of Allowance until issuance (a period during which continued examination did not occur).

Excerpt taken from "Response to Decision on Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment and Notice of Intent to Issue Certificate of Correction", filed October 24, 2011, pgs. 2-3.

STATUTE AND REGULATION

35 U.S.C. § 154(b) as amended by § 4402 of the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999¹ (AIPA) provides that:

ADJUSTMENT OF PATENT TERM. —

(1) PATENT TERM GUARANTEES. —

(A) GUARANTEE OF PROMPT PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE RESPONSES. — Subject to the limitations under paragraph (2), if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the Patent and Trademark Office to —

(i) provide at least one of the notifications under section 132 of this title or a notice of allowance under section 151 of this title not later than 14 months after —

(I) the date on which an application was filed under section 111(a) of this title; or

(II) the date on which an international application fulfilled the requirements of^s section 371 of this title;

(ii) respond to a reply under section 132, or to an appeal taken under section 134, within 4 months after the date on which the reply was filed or the appeal was taken;

(iii) act on an application within 4 months after the date of a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences under section 134 or 135 or a decision by a Federal court under section 141, 145, or 146 in a case in which allowable claims remain in the application; or

(iv) issue a patent within 4 months after the date on which the issue fee was paid under section 151 and all outstanding requirements were satisfied, the term of the patent shall be extended 1 day for each day after the end of the period specified in clause (i), (ii), (iii), or (iv), as the case may be, until the action described in such clause is taken.

(B) GUARANTEE OF NO MORE THAN 3-YEAR APPLICATION PENDENCY. — Subject to the limitations under paragraph (2), if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to

¹ Public Law 106-113, 113 Stat. 1501, 1501A-557 through 1501A-560 (1999).

issue a patent within 3 years after the actual filing date of the application in the United States, not including -

(i) any time consumed by continued examination of the application requested by the applicant under section 132(b);

(ii) any time consumed by a proceeding under section 135(a), any time consumed by the imposition of an order under section 181, or any time consumed by appellate review by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or by a Federal court; or

(iii) any delay in the processing of the application by the United States Patent and Trademark Office requested by the applicant except as permitted by paragraph (3)(C), the term of the patent shall be extended 1 day for each day after the end of that 3-year period until the patent is issued.

(C) GUARANTEE OR ADJUSTMENTS FOR DELAYS DUE TO INTERFERENCES, SECRECY ORDERS, AND APPEALS. - Subject to the limitations under paragraph (2), if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to -

(i) a proceeding under section 135(a);

(ii) the imposition of an order under section 181; or

(iii) appellate review by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or by a Federal court in a case in which the patent was issued under a decision in the review reversing an adverse determination of patentability, the term of the patent shall be extended 1 day for each day of the pendency of the proceeding, order, or review, as the case may be.

(2) LIMITATIONS. -

(A) IN GENERAL. - To the extent that periods of delay attributable to grounds specified in paragraph (1) overlap, the period of any adjustment granted under this subsection shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed.

The implementing regulation, 37 CFR § 1.702, provides grounds for adjustment of patent term due to examination delay under the Patent Term Guarantee Act of 1999 (original applications, other than designs, filed on or after May 29, 2000).

(a) Failure to take certain actions within specified time frames. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to:

(1) Mail at least one of a notification under 35 U.S.C. 132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151 not later than fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 371 in an international application;

(2) Respond to a reply under 35 U.S.C. 132 or to an appeal taken under 35 U.S.C. 134 not later than four months after the date on which the reply was filed or the appeal was taken;

(3) Act on an application not later than four months after the date of a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences under 35 U.S.C. 134 or 135 or a decision by a Federal court under 35 U.S.C. 141, 145, or 146 where at least one allowable claim remains in the application; or

(4) Issue a patent not later than four months after the date on which the issue fee was paid under 35 U.S.C. 151 and all outstanding requirements were satisfied.

(b) Failure to issue a patent within three years of the actual filing date of the application. Subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 154(b) and this subpart, the term of an original patent shall be adjusted if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to issue a patent within three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application, but not including²:

²
U.S.C. 132(b);

(1) Any time consumed by continued examination of the application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b);

(2) Any time consumed by an interference proceeding under 35 U.S.C. 135(a);

(3) Any time consumed by the imposition of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181;

(4) Any time consumed by review by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or a Federal court; or

(5) Any delay in the processing of the application by the Office that was requested by the applicant.

In pertinent part, 37 CFR § 1.703 provides for calculation of the periods, as follows:

Period of adjustment of patent term due to examination delay.

(a) The period of adjustment under § 1.702(a) is the sum of the following periods:

(1) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the day after the date that is fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 371 and ending on the date of mailing of either an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151, whichever occurs first;

(2) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the day after the date that is four months after the date a reply under § 1.111 was filed and ending on the date of mailing of either an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151, whichever occurs first;

(3) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the day after the date that is four months after the date a reply in compliance with § 1.113(c) was filed and ending on the date of mailing of either an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151, whichever occurs first;

(4) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the day after the date that is four months after the date an appeal brief in compliance with § 41.37 of this title was filed and ending on the date of mailing of any of an examiner's answer under § 41.39 of this title, an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151, whichever occurs first;

(5) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the day after the date that is four months after the date of a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or by a Federal court in an appeal under 35 U.S.C. 141 or a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146 where at least one allowable claim remains in the application and ending on the date of mailing of either an action under 35 U.S.C. 132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151, whichever occurs first; and

(6) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the day after the date that is four months after the date the issue fee was paid and all outstanding requirements were satisfied and ending on the date a patent was issued.

(b) The period of adjustment under § 1.702(b) is the number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the day after the date that is three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application and ending on the date a patent was issued, but not including the sum of the following periods³:

37 CFR 1.703(f) provides that:

The adjustment will run from the expiration date of the patent as set forth in 35 U.S.C. 154(a)(2). To the extent that periods of delay attributable to the grounds specified in §1.702 overlap, the period of adjustment granted under this section shall not exceed the actual number of days the issuance of the patent was delayed. The term of a patent entitled to adjustment under § 1.702 and this section shall be adjusted for the sum of the periods

³ (1) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the date on which a request for continued examination of the application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) was filed and ending on the date the patent was issued;

(2)(i) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the date an interference was declared or redeclared to involve the application in the interference and ending on the date that the interference was terminated with respect to the application; and (ii) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the date prosecution in the application was suspended by the Office due to interference proceedings under 35 U.S.C. 135(a) not involving the application and ending on the date of the termination of the suspension;

(3)(i) The number of days, if any, the application was maintained in a sealed condition under 35 U.S.C. 181; (ii) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the date of mailing of an examiner's answer under § 41.39 of this title in the application under secrecy order and ending on the date the secrecy order was removed; (iii) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the date applicant was notified that an interference would be declared but for the secrecy order and ending on the date the secrecy order was removed; and (iv) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the date of notification under § 5.3(c) of this chapter and ending on the date of mailing of the notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151; and,

(4) The number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the date on which a notice of appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences was filed under 35 U.S.C. 134 and § 41.31 of this title and ending on the date of the last decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or by a Federal court in an appeal under 35 U.S.C. 141 or a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145, or on the date of mailing of either an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151, whichever occurs first, if the appeal did not result in a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

calculated under paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section, to the extent that such periods are not overlapping, less the sum of the periods calculated under § 1.704. The date indicated on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 shall not be taken into account in this calculation.

OPINION

Patentees' argument has again been considered, but is not persuasive. The Office's calculation of "B delay" is correct. The "B delay" is an adjustment entered if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the failure of the Office to issue a patent within three years after the date on which the application was filed. However, the adjustment does not include, among other things, any time consumed by continued examination of the application at the request of the applicant under 35 U.S.C. 132(b)⁴. So, with respect to calculating the "B delay" where applicant has filed a request for continued examination, the period of adjustment is the number of days, if any, in the period beginning on the day after the date that is three years after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) in an international application and ending on the date a patent was issued, but not including the number of days in the period beginning on the date on which a request for continued examination of the application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) was filed and ending on the date the patent was issued.

⁴ Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 132(b), 37 CFR 1.114 provides for continued examination of an application, as follows:

(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of:

(1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted;

(2) Abandonment of the application; or

(3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 146, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated.

(b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (§ 1.113), a notice of allowance (§ 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

Further, counting the period of time excluded from the "B delay" for the filing of a request for continued examination under 35 U.S.C. 132(b), from the date on which the request for continued examination is filed to the date the patent is issued is proper. Patentee does not dispute that time consumed by continued examination of an application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) is properly excluded and that the calculation of the excluded period begins on the date of filing of the request for continued examination. At issue is what further processing or examination beyond the date of filing of the request for continued examination is not any time consumed by continued examination of the application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b). The USPTO indicated in September of 2000 in the final rule to implement the patent term adjustment provisions of the AIPA that once a request for continued examination under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and 37 CFR 1.114 is filed in an application, any further processing or examination of the application, including granting of a patent, is by virtue of the continued examination given to the application under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and CFR 1.114. See Changes to Implement Patent Term Adjustment under Twenty-Year Patent Term, 65 Fed. Reg. 56366, 56376 (Sept. 18, 2000) (response to comment 8). Thus, the excluded period begins with the filing of the request for continued examination and ends with the issuance of the patent.

Patentees' argument that the period of time after the issuance of a notice of allowance on a request for continued examination is not "any time consumed by continued examination requested by the applicant under section 132(b)" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i) is not availing. This limitation is not supported by the statutory language. Garcia v. United States, 469 U.S. 70, 75 (1984) ("only the most extraordinary showing of contrary intentions from [legislative history] would justify a limitation on the 'plain meaning' of the statutory language"). BP Am. Prod. Co. v. Burton, 549 U.S. 84, 91 (2006) ("Unless otherwise defined, statutory terms are generally interpreted in accordance with their ordinary meaning"). The statute provides for a guarantee of no more than 3-year application pendency, by providing for an adjustment in the patent term:

First, "Subject to the limitations of paragraph (2)," means that the limitations of paragraph 2 apply to this paragraph's adjustment of patent term. That is, the day-to-day extension of patent term for pendency beyond the 3 year period is restricted

as follows: 1) "B delay" cannot accrue for days of "A delay" that overlap, 2) the patent term cannot be extended beyond disclaimed term, and 3) the period of adjustment, including accrued "B delay," will be reduced for applicant delay.

Second, "if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

to issue a patent within 3 years after the actual filing date of the application in the United States," meaning that the condition must first occur that the issuance of an original patent (35 U.S.C. 153), not merely the issuance of a notice of allowance, is delayed due to the Office's failure to issue a patent (sign and record a patent grant in the name of the United States), not merely mail a notice of allowance, within 3 years after the actual filing date of the application in the United States. This provision gives the Office a three-year period to issue a patent (sign and record a patent grant in the name of the United States) after the application filing date before an adjustment will accrue for "B delay."

Third, "not including- (i) any time consumed by continued examination of the application requested by the applicant under section 132(b); (ii) any time consumed by a proceeding under section 135(a), any time consumed by the imposition of an order under section 181, or any time consumed by appellate review by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or by a Federal court; or (iii) any delay in the processing of the application by the United States Patent and Trademark Office requested by the applicant except as permitted by paragraph (3)(C), meaning that the three-year period does not include "any time consumed by" or "any delay in processing," as specified in clauses (i)-(iii). This language correlates to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A) which likewise provides the basis for determining the period given the Office to take the specified actions before an adjustment will accrue for "A delay" (e.g., extended for 1 day after the day after the period specified in clauses (i)-(iv)).

Furthermore, these clauses are interpreted using their ordinary meanings. Nonetheless, the context of the legislation should be considered. As stated in Wyeth v. Dudas, 580 F. Supp.2d 138(D.D.C., September 30, 2008), because the clock for calculating the 20-year patent term begins to run on the filing date, and not on the day the patent is actually granted, some of the effective term of a patent is consumed by the time it takes

to prosecute the application. To mitigate this effect, the statute, *inter alia*, grants adjustments of patent term whenever the patent prosecution takes more than three years, regardless of the reason. The time consumed by prosecution of the application includes every day the application is pending before the Office from the actual filing date of the application in the United States until the date of issuance of the patent. The time it takes to prosecute the application ends not with the mailing of the notice of allowance, but with the issuance of the patent.

Thus, not including "any time consumed by" means not including any days used to prosecute the application as specified in clauses (i)-(ii)⁵. Clause (i) specifies "any time consumed by continued examination of the application requested by the applicant under section 132(b)." Clause (ii) specifies "any time consumed by a proceeding under section 135(a), any time consumed by the imposition of an order under section 181, or any time consumed by appellate review by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or by a Federal court." "Time" in the context of this legislation throughout refers to days. "Consumed by" means used by or used in the course of. *Websters Collegiate Dictionary*, (11th ed.). The "any" signifies that the days consumed by are "any" of the days in the pendency of the application, and not just days that occur after the application has been pending for 3 years. As such, "any time consumed by" refers to any days used in the course of 1) continued examination of the application under section 132(b) (the filing of a request for continued examination), 2) interference proceedings, 3) secrecy orders, and 4) appellate review. Thus, that 3-year period given to the Office to issue a patent before an adjustment will accrue for "B delay" does not include any days used in the course of or any time consumed by clauses (i)-(ii), including any time consumed by the filing of a request for continued examination.

⁵ Clause (iii) provides for not including (iii) any delay in the processing of the application by the United States Patent and Trademark Office requested by the applicant except as permitted by paragraph (3)(C), the term of the patent shall be extended 1 day for each day after the end of that 3-year period until the patent is issued. It is noted that paragraph (3)(C) allows with an adequate showing by applicant for reinstatement of no more than 3 months of the patent term reduced for applicant delay in taking in excess of three months to respond.

Fourth, "the term of the patent shall be extended 1 day for each day after the end of that 3-year period until the patent is issued" meaning that the consequence of this failure is that after "the end of that 3-year period" an additional 1 day of patent term will accrue for each day that the application is pending until the day the patent is issued.

The "time consumed by" or used in the course of the continued examination of the application requested by the applicant under section 132(b) does not end until issuance of the patent. 35 U.S.C. 132(b) was enacted under the same title, the "American Inventors Protection Act of 1999," as 35 U.S.C. 154(b). Section 4403 of the AIPA amended 35 U.S.C. § 132 to provide, at the request of the applicant, for continued examination of an application for a fee (request for continued examination or RCE practice), without requiring the applicant to file a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) or a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d). Thus, clause (i) is different from clause (ii) in that clause (i) refers to an examination process whereas clause (ii) refers to time consumed by proceedings (interferences, secrecy orders and appeals) in an application.

By nature, the time used in the course of the examination process continues to issuance of the patent. The examination process involves examining the application to ascertain whether it appears that the applicant is entitled to a patent under the law. See 35 U.S.C. 131 ("[t]he Director shall cause an examination to be made of the application and the alleged new invention; and if on such examination it appears that the applicant is entitled to a patent under the law, the Director shall issue a patent therefor"). If on examination it appears that the applicant is entitled to a patent, the USPTO issues a notice of allowance. See 35 U.S.C. 151 ("[i]f it appears that applicant is entitled to a patent under the law, a written notice of allowance of the application shall be given or mailed to the applicant"). If on examination it appears that the applicant is not entitled to a patent, the USPTO issues a notice (an Office action) stating the applicable rejection, objection, or other requirement, with the reasons therefor. See 35 U.S.C. 132 ("[w]henever, on examination, any claim for a patent is rejected, or any objection or requirement made, the Director shall notify the applicant thereof, stating the reasons for such rejection, or objection or requirement, together with such information and references as may be useful in judging of the

propriety of continuing the prosecution of his application"). Neither the issuance of a notice of allowance nor the insurance of an Office action terminates the examination process. If after the issuance of an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 it subsequently appears that the applicant is entitled to a patent (e.g., in response to an argument or amendment by the applicant), the USPTO will issue a notice of allowance.

Conversely, if after the issuance of a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151 it subsequently appears that the applicant is not entitled to a patent (e.g., in response to information provided by the applicant or uncovered by the USPTO), the USPTO will withdraw the application from issuance and issue an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 stating the applicable rejection, objection, or other requirement, with the reasons therefor.

As held in Blacklight Power, the USPTO's responsibility to issue a patent containing only patentable claims does not end with the issuance of a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151. See BlackLight Power, Inc. v. Rogan, 295 F.3d 1269, 1273 (Fed. Cir. 2002). Rather, if there is any substantial, reasonable ground within the knowledge or cognizance of the Director as to why an application should not issue, it is the USPTO's duty to refuse to issue the patent even if a notice of allowance has previously been issued for the application. See In re Drawbaugh, 9 App. D.C. 219, 240 (D.C. Cir 1896).

Moreover, the applicant continues to be engaged in the examination process after the mailing of the notice of allowance. 37 CFR 1.56 makes clear that the applicant has a duty to disclose information material to patentability as long as the application is pending before the USPTO (i.e., until a patent is granted or the application is abandoned). See 37 CFR 1.56(a) ("[t]he duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned"). 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 provide for the consideration of information submitted by the applicant after a notice of allowance has been mailed. See 37 CFR 1.97(d). In addition, 37 CFR 1.312 provides for the amendment of an application after a notice of allowance has been mailed. In fact, the request for examination procedures⁶ permit the filing of a request for continued examination under

⁶ Thus, on occasion, even where a request for continued examination has already been filed and a notice of allowance issued pursuant to that request, applicant may file a further request for continued examination.

37 CFR 1.114 even after the issuance of a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. 151. See 37 CFR 1.114(a)(1).

As the examination process does not terminate with the mailing of the notice of allowance, the time consumed by continued examination requested by the applicant under section 132(b) does not terminate with the mailing of the notice of allowance. All the time the application is pending from the date of filing of the request for continued examination to the mailing of the notice of allowance through issuance of the patent is a consequence of the filing of the request for continued examination. Further action by the Office is pursuant to that request. Applicant has gotten further prosecution of the application without having to file a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).

All of the continued examination pursuant to the filing of the request by the applicant is properly excluded from the delay attributed to the Office. 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)'s guarantee of a total application pendency of no more than three years provides for adjustment of the patent term for delay due to the Office's failure to issue the patent within three years, but does not include "any time consumed by continued examination requested by the applicant under 35 U.S.C. 132(b)." It is not necessary to mitigate the effect on the 20-year term to the extent that applicant has requested that the Office continue to examine the application via a request for continued examination, in lieu of, the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).

In this instance, a request for continued examination was filed on February 10, 2011, and the patent issued by virtue of that request on July 19, 2011. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(B)(i), the period beginning on February 10, 2011, and ending on July 19, 2011, is not included in calculating Office delay. In view thereof, it is concluded that the patent term adjustment of 960 days is correct.

CONCLUSION

The request for reconsideration of the revised patent term adjustment is denied.

In re Patent No. 7,983,897 Application No. 11/675,034 15

The Office acknowledges that patentees previously submitted the \$200 fee set forth in §1.18(e) on application for patent term adjustment filed May 9, 2011. As this request pertains only to the over 3-year delay issue raised in the application for patent term adjustment, no additional fees are required. Deposit account 06-1050 will be refunded \$200.00, accordingly.

The application is being forwarded to the Certificates of Correction Branch for issuance of a certificate of correction. The Office will issue a certificate of correction indicating that the term of the above-identified patent is extended or adjusted by **nine hundred and sixty (960)** days.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Kenya A. McLaughlin, Petitions Attorney, (571) 272-3222.



Anthony Knight
Director
Office of Petitions

Enclosure: Copy of DRAFT Certificate of Correction

DRAFT COPY

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT : 7,983,897 B2

DATED : Jul. 19, 2011

INVENTOR(S) : Chin, et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

On the cover page,

[*] Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 USC 154(b) by (974) days

Delete the phrase "by 974 days" and insert – by 960 days--