The vast majority of opinions and orders entered by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in both ex parte and interference cases are non-precedential. The opinions and orders ordinarily contain at the top of the first page the following notice:

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was not written for publication in a law journal and (2) is not binding precedent of the Board.

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences has received numerous requests that more of its opinions and orders be published. Although the board itself can, and does, decide that certain of its opinions and orders should be published, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 122 generally preclude, without prior permission of a patent applicant, publication of opinions and orders not otherwise available to the public.

Nothing in the notice normally appearing on opinions and orders entered by the board and nothing in the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 122, precludes any applicant or patentee who has received an opinion or order entered in either an ex parte or interference case from publishing that opinion or order. Opinions may be published by forwarding the opinion directly to a publisher, such as The Bureau of National Affairs, LEXIS, and West Publishing Co. Alternatively, any applicant or patentee may authorize the Patent and Trademark Office to publish an opinion or order on the Web Page of the Patent and Trademark Office. Those wishing to authorize publication on the Web Page should communicate that wish to the Chief Administrative Patent Judge via letter addressed as follows:

Chief Administrative Patent Judge
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Washington, D.C. 20231

Any non-precedential opinion or order which is published in a law publication or otherwise or which appears on the PTO Web Page may be cited to the board, but will not be considered binding precedent. A copy of the opinion or order should accompany the paper in which it is cited if the applicant or patentee citing the opinion or order wishes that it be considered.

November 6, 1998

BRUCE H. STONER, JR.
Chief Administrative Patent Judge
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