As innovators strive to be exceptional in the production of new and useful innovations, a lot gets lost in the technical jargon. To many times innovators are discouraged and a lot gets lost with the lack of confidence in the conduct of solicitors and non-innovators. Educational institutions have provided some safe havens for innovators, opinion and trust still play a key role in innovations ability to achieve another level. Patent quality weighs heavily on the shoulders of the innovator. In order to improve patent quality I believe a panel of unbiased individuals would view the application, report findings to an unbiased panel, then both reports critiqued by a final panel as none of the asset would be lost to discouragements, not to encourage favoritism, but to truly give a fighting chance to the dreamer. Ultimately not telling the inventor what to do but to provide an outline to what's acceptable to move forward safely as imagination has fueled the economy for centuries. "Maby there's more to this" attitude. "Not sink or swim". Thanks for listening.