

**From:** Dan Dawes

**Sent:** Monday, January 23, 2017 5:36 PM

**To:** External Examination Time Study <ExternalExaminationTimeStudy@USPTO.GOV>

**Subject:** feedback - prosecution examination time and quality goals

See attached.

Daniel L. Dawes

Attorney at Law

Dawes Patent Law Group

5200 Warner Ave. Ste 106

Huntington Beach, California 92649

phone 714 840 0302


fax 714 840 5266


[ddawes@dawespatents.com](mailto:ddawes@dawespatents.com)


website: <http://www.dawespatents.com>


CONFIDENTIALITY: This electronic message contains information which may be confidential and privileged as a communication from an attorney to a client or as an attorney work product. The information contained herein is intended solely for the individual(s) or entity(ies) named. If you are not the intended recipient, or responsible for the delivery of the message to such person, do not copy, send or deliver this message or information to anyone. If you received this message in error, you should delete the message in its entirety from all files and notify us immediately by e-mail or by telephone.


## Questions Concerning Examination Time Goals


(1) Do you perceive a difference in the quality of examination performed in complex technologies compared to less complex technologies?  If yes, which do you perceive as higher quality and why? In what aspect(s) is the quality of examination higher?

(2) What factors do you consider when estimating the amount of time needed to take various steps in prosecution, such as preparing responses to Office actions or preparing for interviews? In particular, if you prosecute applications in a variety of technology areas, how do those factors vary among the technologies? 

(3) Are the applications you prosecute more or less complex than in the past, e.g., 10 years ago? What factors contribute to the increase or decrease in complexity? Do you believe the increase or decrease in complexity has affected the amount of time it takes to prosecute the applications? If so, by how much? Do you believe the increase or decrease in complexity has affected the quality of examination? If so, how? 

(4) In order to increase the quality of examination, do you believe that an increase in the time allotted for examination should be designated for specific activities, such as interviews, or left to the discretion of the examiner? What activities would you prioritize and allocate more time to? 

(5) Are there any portions of Office actions which you feel do not add value or quality to the examination? If yes, what are they? 

(6) What other activities beyond examining, such as research or training, could examiners spend time on that would add value? Why do you believe these activities could add value? 

(7) While the focus of this request for comments and the roundtables is to find the appropriate amount of time for examination, cost and pendency are also contributing factors. Do these factors raise a concern that should be considered? 