

**From:** [Edwards, Carl M](#)  
**To:** [Eligibility2019](#)  
**Subject:** Oppose new guidance in Section 101  
**Date:** Friday, February 8, 2019 1:58:15 PM

---

Dear Sir,

I oppose the new guidance that you have proposed in light of Alice Corp v. CLS Bank. In your guidance you are mitigated the effects of Alice for patent process stakeholders but do not include the public in your analysis. This is a serious flaw and you should reconsider.\

In the guidance for section 101 you list the following as test for patent eligibility.

- an additional element reflects an improvement in the functioning of a computer, or an improvement to other technology or technical field;

This is a fundamental flaw. Any and all written code improves the functioning of a generic computer. This is inconsistent with Alice.

**Carl M. Edwards**

---

---

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, or have been inadvertently and erroneously referenced in the address line, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message.