

3-7-19

Reference PTO-P2018-0053

Examination Guidance – Request For Comments

I am an Inventor who lacks the money to pay a Patent Attorney and who has not yet contacted UW-Madison Law Clinic for free help. The inventions may not be that good and/or could not be sold at profitable prices.

In future requests for public comment I suggest you define and explain the Alice/Mayo decision and define and explain Judicial exception. Using a picture logic diagram to explain the decision points and the decision criteria at each decision point would be helpful.

I think abstract ideas with practical application probably applies in one case to computer software. I personally dislike computer people and what their software does to harm people's minds. I believe computer software is copyrightable for the life of the author (I believe the company is the author if the software is written by an employee) plus 70 years. Corporations that stay in business have an infinite perpetual monopoly. That is too much economic power in too few people's hands.

I also have concerns about a poor inventor fighting off wealthy big corporation infringers. Please make sure the patent court proceedings can't get dragged on and on thus depleting the poor inventor's funds to pay for an attorney to represent him or her.

I do have some words of advice on patents in general. Do not grant a patent on anything who's use or application has potentially known bad unintended side consequences on people or society such as addictive pain killers, addictive video games and video games which promote killing and violence.

Dave Madson