

**From:** Ray Kovarik  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 28, 2019 3:29 PM  
**To:** Eligibility2019  
**Subject:** 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear Sir or Madam,

Can you provide 2019 PEG Examples in which:

Step 2A Prong Two = Yes  
(i.e., The claim is directed to the abstract idea.)

but

Step 2B = Yes  
(i.e., The claim provides an inventive concept.)

Alternatively, or in addition, you could identify one of the pre-2019 PEG examples that have Step 2B = Yes, but where it would have failed at Step 2A Prong Two.

Thank you,



**Ray Kovarik, Associate**  
B.A. (Comp Sci & Economics),  
LL.M., MBA, J.D.

[phone number redacted]  
[email address redacted]

Bereskin & Parr LLP  
Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street West, 40th Floor  
Toronto, ON M5H 3Y2 Canada  
Tel: 416.364.7311 Fax: 416.361.1398  
**Website [LinkedIn](#)**

This e-mail and any attachments may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. If you are not the intended recipient and appear to have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately and then delete all copies from your computer system. Any unauthorized use, copying or further distribution is prohibited. Please also note that if you send us e-mails containing time-sensitive or important instructions, you should ensure that receipt has been timely acknowledged in view of the potential for misdirection or inadvertent interception. Thank you.