

**From:** Steve  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 3, 2019 6:38 AM  
**To:** Eligibility2019  
**Subject:** Change is needed immediately

Dear Mr. Iancu:

It is necessary to push on forward and avoid the big business efforts to suppress and acquire patents that others have invented by using their monetary influence and legals teams to effectively stymie effort to receive compensation for really important inventions.

Having one review apply for both the USPTO and Federal District Courts, i.e. Phillips Standard vs BRI; however you fell short of responsible change by NOT applying a retroactive look, this allows the older cases on the docket to literally get robbed!

I am stunned with the difference and the negative bias at the USPTO vs a Federal District Court finding with a neutral jury in favor of the inventor being rolled over.

You need to talk with each "examiner" who has a complete and opposite view (normally against the inventor) to a district court finding along with a CAFC finding for the inventor! Doesn't this seem very odd to you? Possibly these examiners don't have respect for a higher court setting and need to be shown the errors in their analysis or shown the door!

Very truly,

Stephen A. Battaglia