

From: David

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:27 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear USPTO,

I am writing in favor of the revised and more clear guidance. As a full time teacher and small time inventor I have been hesitant to invest the significant (to me) amount of money to file a patent. If I do go forward, it will represent a risk to the financial well being of my family. For this reason, I think we do need to have clarity before the patent is issued and consistency once it is issued. It would be especially devastating for me to invest the money for a patent only to have it approved and then invalidated.

I agree with the letter sent by USInventor.org that states: This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

I know others in my invention club who have spent thousands for patents and we need to make the system as fair and consistent as possible so we, the small inventors, can be assured the risks we take will be treated fairly.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,

David

From: Folim-ILS

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 11:15 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

Dear Sir/Mme,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. It also helps to remove on contradictory rulings and Examiners evaluation. The new guidelines also will provide for a rational examination of eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice or expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Note: Denying a legitimate invention by a US citizen/entity should be avoided by patent examiners and the courts. Such denials deprive the US of considerable intellectual and material wealth.

From: gmr237

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 7:28 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: jkarr2

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 7:23 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Prevent Big Company Patent Piracy!! Travesty of Justice!!

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: kevin

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 1:17 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: KScherban

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 7:15 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: maspalter

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 7:58 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: profitize

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 8:20 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: We the People

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you



From: Philip Abel

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 9:52 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Philip Abel

Overland Park, KS

From: Boris Ablov

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 3:14 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: The 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear Sirs,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Wishing us all your success,

Respectfully,

Boris Ablov

From: Frank albano

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:28 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

Frank Albano

Inventor of Shotcicle

From: Steve Alvarez

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:19 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Creative license...

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility, giving the individual encouragement to feel safe to create new inventions.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Let us again be the creative, imaginative, & resourceful nation again; for we have led the world to benefit mankind; let us continue to bless as individuals and a great people.

From: John Anderson

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:41 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Michelle Arney

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:37 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Patent subject matter eligibility

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day

Michelle Arney

patent strategist

From: bubbaarnold62

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 9:01 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: PTO

It's time to take politics out of private property ownership. I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Leslie Arnold

From: jason

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:01 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Re. Support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Jason Aryeh



From: M. Ashin

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 8:04 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: My Support for RPSMEG.

To whom it may concern?

I am an inventor and have filed several U.S. patents in the USPTO over the past 15 years. In the beginning I had

valued and respected the patent I had received. Unfortunately with time, the changes that took place in the USPTO caused me to totally feel unprotected and to realize

that in the future, my new inventions would not be protected any further. I would no longer invest huge sums for protection that could be so easily undermined by those

who had the finances to do so. Those who recognized and took advantage of the loop holes in the system. I saw how the entire patent industry became corrupted and no longer

offered the protection we inventors had once trusted to receive. Therefore, I am looking to you for your assistance and bringing back the respect that an examined and published U.S. patent had once received.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

Moshe Ashin

From: Brian A

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 7:29 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Brian Aumiller

From: Renee Bammel

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 1:18 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Revised Patent Eligibility Guidelines

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

Renee Bammel, Realtor

West USA Realty

From: John Barragan

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 7:16 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In Support

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

Regards,

John Barragan

[address and phone number redacted]

From: Larry Barron

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 12:21 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Support

This is IN SUPPORT of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Larry Barron

From: Todd Bauswell

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 9:25 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

From: Jimi Beach

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:23 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

As an inventor, I provide for my family based on the promise provided by the U.S. government that I would have the exclusive right to use and implement my patented technologies for a limited period of time. With the state of the US patent system in flux...time is ticking away as we inventors wait to see what will happen in the near future to protect our patent rights.

In fact, others are infringing upon my patents right now, but I am unwilling to pursue my rights to these technologies because of the current state of the US patent system. This is a horrible shame as I've positioned my entire career on inventing, staying ahead of technologies and trends, and assembling teams to take these patented inventions to market.

Director Iancu is providing hope for independent inventors, and we collectively hope the work he is doing will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO.

What I don't understand is why isn't our President more focused on securing the US patent system? It seems he's focused on international trade, but not really paying attention to the fact that U.S. innovators are taking their technologies to other countries, where they have a better chance of monetizing and protecting their patents.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation. Protection for discoveries is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

Jimi Beach

Chief Development Officer

FLEAT NETWORK, INC.

MODCRAFT, LLC

From: Gloria Johnson

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 8:56 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Comments on the 2019 Revised Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post-issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best regards,

Gloria Beck Johnson

[address redacted]



From: ALAN BECKLEY

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 9:27 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance - Inventor Alan Beckley

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over Section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics.

Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

As an inventor, I feel very strongly that we must be careful in allowing too broad a definition of "abstract ideas." Taken to an extreme, this overzealousness could have the chilling effect of essentially invalidating a broad swath of issued patents.

It is essential that the U.S. maintain a robust patent system that encourages innovation and job creation that inventors bring to the table.

Alan Beckley

From: Bill Bell

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:06 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Megan Benedict

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:45 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

Historically, our strong, predictable and workable patent system has facilitated the US becoming the technological leader in the world. We must take action now to protect and reward innovation if we hope to continue in our leadership role.

Megan Benedict

Silverton, OR

From: Ryan Bennett

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:17 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

Ryan Bennett

From: Jordan S. Bernhard

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 1:19 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance...

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Jordan S. Bernhard

American Inventor, with multiple letters patents granted

From: Biff Bigbie

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 3:50 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Comments on 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

Although I hold a design patent, without this revised guidance I would certainly hesitate to go through the process again. However, this guidance appears to improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing - and apparently contradictory - holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

I look forward to guidance that provides me incentive to create!

Biff Bigbie

Hideaway, TX

From: mitch billian

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 9:47 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: New USPTO Guidelines

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Mitch Billian

Rockville, Maryland

--

Regards,

Mitch Billian

From: Karenbonni

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 8:01 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

As a U.S. patent holder and U.S. citizen I am writing in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. My concerns are shared by many others and we request your support. We believe that intellectual property rights are currently being threatened which ultimately threatens U.S. innovation and our economy.

This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Karen Bonnici



From: Stephen Boyle

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 10:17 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Please level the field for the "little guy".

SF Boyle

From: Randy Braegger

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 11:50 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: rich7112000

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 2:17 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post-issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Richard Breneman

From: Eb Bright

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 3:18 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Supporting the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I have worked in medical devices and life sciences for decades. Patent rights are fundamental to secure and protect investment for new diagnostics and therapeutics. Researching and understanding the systems of the human body is a time-consuming and unpredictable endeavor. When the research leads to a new and practical application of that research, it deserves predictable patent rights.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Earl Bright

From: Dustin Briquelet

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 9:15 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 3019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Dustin Briquelet

From: Ronald Burns

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 8:01 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter

To Whom It May Concern:

The U.S. Patent System is in disarray. It is held hostage by the arbitrary and capricious whims of circuit, district, and administrative judges. Help is needed to restore consistency, predictability and integrity to the processes for obtaining and enforcing U.S. Patents.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to restore the United States Patent System.

Sincerely,

Ronald Burns

Ronald W. Burns, Esq.

Partner

From: Skip

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:10 PM

To: Eligibility2019 <Eligibility2019@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: My Inventor Thoughts

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

I'd like to share my two cents worth here. As a late in life inventor, I hold two important patents related to modernizing dangerous machine tool safety: US 8,082,825 B2; US 8,336,432 B1 and a pending Application US 2015/021252 A1 – which I'm told has now been revised to JAN 2019 as US-2019-011,895-A and is titled Machine Control and Data Logging Station. If and when issued, we believe this will have an enormous impact on workers' insurance and eliminate the huge number of serious accident court cases which resemble the "He said; she said" court cases. The data logging patent will tell-all regarding the machine operation history including the fingerprint of the operator when an accident occurs. Better still, the remote stakeholder logging system can completely disable operation of a machine when it senses a dangerous condition.

As a patent newbie I was shocked at PTAB refusing to allow me to directly cite "capacitive flesh-sensing" in claims. That technology was invented by the Russian Leon Theremin just about 100 years ago. Patent Trial and Appeal Board? It took years to have a decision! When was the trial? How come I wasn't even invited to my Trial and I wasn't there!

Gene and Renee Quinn, I offer my great thank-you for your 20 years of mornings with my coffee and IP Watchdog helping me learn some of the ins and outs of patent practice and law. Under the expert tutelage of my friend and Patent Attorney Milton Oliver with about 1500 patents issued over thirty years, I have learned to draft my own patents and draw my own Figures in order to learn and keep my patent expense down. My website is [www.whirlwindtool.com](http://www.whirlwindtool.com) and I hope you might check it out.

Best regards,

David Butler

From: Brian Byrne

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:00 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

As the owner of three technology patents, with two additional patents pending, I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day as well as the competitive position of the USA in the world. ?

Regards,

Brian Byrne

Managing Partner

meshIP, LLC



From: Tony Campos

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 7:41 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you for your efforts.

Tony Campos

From: peter capotosto

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:33 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Good afternoon,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Peter Capotosto

From: Peter Capotosto

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:37 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Patent Matter Eligibility Guidance

Good afternoon,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Peter J. Capotosto

Vice President, Business Development

From: William Carey

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:09 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Law Info

To Whom It May Concern,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

William Carey

ARC Technology, LLC

From: Wayne Carpenter

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:14 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Importance: High

USPTO,

As an inventor who is in the process of patenting a device, I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

Wayne Carpenter

From: carsonde

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:57 PM

To: Eligibility2019>

Subject: Revised Patent Subject Matter

Dear Sirs:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank You!

Dave Carson

From: deja castro

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:24 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Comment

Hello,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Deja Castro, Inventor

RDCSYSTEMS.COM

From: Chris Cetta

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 8:16 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best,

Chris Cetta, DMD

Christopher Cetta, DMD

Board Certified Orthodontist, Blue Wave Orthodontics



From: tim.a.chavez

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 1:44 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I wholeheartedly support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

It properly affects us non corporate Intellectual Property stakeholders. Our voice has been drowned out and kept from surfacing.

Many of us cant say how IP guidance helps us even though we have tried and tried.. and the existing way has only made it impossible for us to swim among sharks.

This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Jonathan Chen

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 1:18 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of this initiative

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Renee Chen

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:06 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Renee A. Chen

Product Developer

inventRight Student

Varsity Peak Products, LLC

From: Tony C

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 9:16 AM

To: Eligibility2019 <Eligibility2019@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: Rescue Invention & Innovation SUPPORT 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

My preamble:

In the last decades we have been watching many contests between inventors and invention thieves. Turns out most of the thieves are large tech or global companies that grind the inventors into bankruptcy with repeatedly obscene and illogical yet permitted legal challenges. And players with no role in the patent can initiate an IPR ????. That is just lunacy.

The current system promotes the stifling of American invention and inventors and must be corrected.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day

Thank you

Anthony Chitea

From: John Cleaves

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 12:04 PM

To: Eligibility2019 >

Cc: Keith Waters; josh

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hello

My name is John Cleaves. I am an inventor and hold patent 9,174,803 for a compact conveyor system. I am also a board member of the Tennessee Inventors Association.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice.

This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings.

Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

John Cleaves

Owner - TableTrieve LLC

From: Mailbox

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 12:56 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: RE: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hi,

I am a small independent inventor and found out the hard way during litigation of my patents that the recent changes to patent law significantly stack the deck against inventors and their patents.

Accordingly, I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your efforts to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

-John Cody

From: ac

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 11:42 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To whom it may concern:

As an American entrepreneur and inventor with over 70 issued U.S. patents, I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best regards,

Adam Cohen

innoNovo LLC

Dallas, TX

From: Scott Comstock

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:38 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hello USPTO,

I am an individual inventor with a history of successful patented products and developments. I am deeply worried about my future in running my small business when I cannot compete with the established, large companies that are threatened by small businesses like mine. If I cannot afford to secure and protect my intellectual property through the USPTO, I will not be able to continue in business and pay my employees. It is small businesses like mine with innovative ideas and products that are the backbone of American small business. Please give us a fighting chance to continue to add the prosperity of small businesses throughout our great country.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Scott Comstock

Comstock Studios



From: bb223

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 8:01 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: My support for the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I strongly support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

William J. Connick, Jr.

Micro-inventor

From: daniel

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 4:08 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Importance: High

Dear Sir/Mam,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Daniel Considine, CEO

NORTHWIND TOOLS, LLC

From: J. Carl Cooper

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 2:39 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

The Honorable Andrei Iancu,

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Dear Mr. Iancu,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. For reasons that remain something of a mystery to many inventors, subject matter eligibility for patenting has become a complicated and threatening danger to the protection of many good inventions which are highly deserving of protection. I am very concerned that protection for complex and highly valuable discoveries of inventors who diligently work for the betterment of mankind are being improperly taken away because of wrong-headed subject matter eligibility arguments. This danger is an impediment to the timely, as well as the ultimate, discovery of those inventions.

That this effect is real can be simply demonstrated by an example of an incredibly important invention which remain to be found, a cure for Alzheimer's disease. When a cure is found, is it to be ripped away from its inventor because it somehow doesn't fit into the morass of subject matter eligibility? An initial argument might be made that such a cure, when found, should be immediately placed in the public domain, owned by the public, for all to benefit. That is a noble thought, but like so many wonderful things that could benefit mankind, the prevention of a patent for the discovery is shortsighted. It ignores thousands of years of history if not the nature of mankind itself. Very few people who have the aptitude to invent, can actually do so without time, incentive and support.

How is the cure to be found without incentives for the application of the necessary years of incredibly expensive work that is needed. Sure, there are a few incredibly wealthy individuals who might contribute to this effort without expecting a return on their investment, but those people and their investments are few and far between. There aren't enough of them to provide a truly effective effort. There are inventors who have the desire, and indeed the ability, to invent the cure, but they do not have the necessary time and funds. Without financial backing these inventors can't do the work. In order to raise that backing they need to be able to provide an incentive for their potential investors. That incentive is the patent! The patent assures the successful inventor, and the backers, that the huge investment necessary to find a cure can be reasonably expected to be recovered, plus a return on that investment.

There are thousands, if not millions, of investors who are willing to back the work leading to such inventions. They will take the risk and invest the funds, provided there is a reasonable possibility that the investment will pay off. The better the chances of financial success, the more attractive the investment. This is the basic risk/reward incentive. The patent is at the core of that risk/reward analysis. No investor wants to back a winner when, after the risk has been overcome, a large multinational corporation with no interest but their own profits can steal the invention. There is no reward for the inventor or the investor. When the patent is taken away, be it under the guise of a guaranteed win for an infringer in an inter partes review (IPR), or as with the issue here, by denying a patent under the guise of subject matter eligibility, the risk/reward analysis goes askew. The analysis becomes all risk and no reward. The inventor can no longer raise backing.

The 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO. This needs to be adopted for the good of inventors, their backers and mankind.

Sincerely,

J. Carl Cooper

From: Christian Corley

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 12:48 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: URGENT:

My name is Christian Corley, product developer and inventor. I am proud to be an American and have many products in Bass Pro Shops, Cabela's and thousands of stores in the US and around the world. I hold 4 patents and VERY CONSERNED about making patents stronger and making sure that no company can use my patents without permission. Also, that companies cannot profit off of my patents without permission.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

Christian L. Corley

21472 W 121st Ter

Olathe, KS 66061

From: Mike Corrigan

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:27 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: USPTO Comment

As I have spent my career working as an independent design and development contractor in the embedded systems field for small entrepreneurial companies I am familiar with the need for invention protection. Therefore I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

With regards,

Michael Corrigan

From: David Covington

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 7:23 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

David Covington MD

46180 515th avenue

Perham MN 56573

From: Travis Creighton

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 3:49 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: My support for the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hello,

I want to express my support for the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance and also ask for your support of the same. (Seriously, small inventors need your support on this.)

This guidance will improve the consistency, clarity, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. (BTW, the PTAB is ridiculous.) Rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. This makes investing in an inventor's patent(s) a risk that minimizes value for the inventor. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility. We, the inventors, need a fix!

Travis Creighton, CCNA, SBSC



From: clif croan

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:13 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: USPTO comments

Dear USPTO,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. It would be great if the Reviewers followed them and germane case law – instead of operating contrary to USPTO guidelines, case law, and USPTO policies and procedures.

Clifton D Croan, MA, LPC, DAPA, FAPA, BCPC, BCPTSD, DMAPA

CEO, Enigami Systems, Inc. dba Enigami Health Management ®.

From: John DAmours

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 1:35 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Cc: 'Josh Malone'

Subject: Concerned Inventor here...

To whom it may concern,

Hi, I'm the inventor of SoulPedal(R), Patented, and I did it mostly on my own. I just renewed it because I spent the first 5 years trying to get the design right. (Check it out at [SoulPedal.com](http://SoulPedal.com)). Please do the right thing and support the following guidance.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

John D'Amours

[SoulPedal.com](http://SoulPedal.com)

From: Maurice Daniel

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 10:26 PM

To: Eligibility2019 <

Subject: In support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

USPTO

I am writing in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Please carry on with your efforts to improve subject matter eligibility guidance.

—Maurice Daniel—

From: Chip Delano

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 9:55 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Chip Delano

[email address redacted]

From: Cigdem Delano

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 6:47 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This is very important to me as an individual inventor looking to license ideas to companies. Any doubt around the patentability of inventions or concerns about existing patents being upheld create major uncertainties that will prevent companies from licensing inventions and inventors from investing the time, money, and hard work needed to start a new business. The financial risk is too great for inventors. Many of us will stop inventing to pursue more reliable ways to make a living.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Cigdem Delano

[email address redacted]

From: Rob Diebboll

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:22 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To the USPTO,

I am a software engineer and inventor with my name on 3 patents. I am also an investor in companies relying on the protection of their inventions, which has been severely damaged by recent policies such as the A.I.A.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Robert S. Diebboll

Software Engineer & Artist

From: William

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:34 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Greetings,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post-issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are unable to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Respectfully,

/William G. DiSessa/

Holly, MI 48442

From: Alma Dixon

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:16 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Patent Eligibility Guidance

To the Director of the Patent Office,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Alma Dixon



From: John Doffing

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 7:22 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Protect the Inventor

Dear Sir,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you for your time,

John Doffing

From: Mike Dresher

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 8:05 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear USPTO,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best regards,

Mike Dresher

Founder

JogAlong Stroller LLC

From: nthed2

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:59 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Please support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear USPTO,

Gee, I wonder why big corps such as Apple hate the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance (ironic)?

Answer: it's all about greed.

Please act to support the small citizen-inventor, who is impotent to fight to protect patents against enormous corporations such as Apple, which use battalions of attorneys ("with false cries of patent troll"), endless streams of dollars, huge political influence, and the current status quo to run out the clock, thus disemboweling those creators whose genius inventions strengthen the American economy and which....Help American Citizens.

Homegrown, brilliant solutions die on the vine in the face of insurmountable legal headwinds.

Please repel the Gamers of our American system of justice by supporting the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

Many thanks, and sincerely,

Noel Drury, M.D.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical

diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Mike duToit

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:58 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

thanks

Mike du Toit

From: gary dubroy

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:36 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: patent

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice.

This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics.

Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Kayayetta Dukes

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:15 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: USPTO Recent Rulings

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Kay Dukes

Licensed Insurance Agent

From: Merrie East

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 2:23 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Regards,

Merrie East



From: Elledge Clan

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 8:08 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Cc: Josh Malone [EMAIL REDACTED]

Subject: Please support the 2019 the Revised Patent Subject Matter eligibility Guidance!!!!

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO.

Have you seen the recent rulings????

Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. This must end!

Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. I really appreciated it!

Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Eileen Elledge

From: Thomas Ellison

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 11:24 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In Strong Support 2019 Revised Patent Eligibility Guidance

I wholeheartedly support Director Iancu in his 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. Please do not let the influence of large corporations prevent these needed revisions. This new guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. Many patents are being invalidated and stymieing the innovation of hard working and creative inventors. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you helping restore the United States position to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields are the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank You,

Thomas Ellison

Retired Senior Boeing Engineer

From: Leuthardt, Eric

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:14 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To the USPTO,

I am writing this letter in strong support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. It is of utmost importance to inventors and the US innovative ecosystem, and the American economy. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. In the recent past there have been rulings by the courts and the USPTO that have been ambiguous and contradictory. Increasingly, I am finding that experienced attorneys have difficulty advising inventors regarding patentability. Even worse, there is a heightened concern that cases where a patent has already been issued will not be upheld. This is a serious problem. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not alter or expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Eric C. Leuthardt, MD, FNAI

Professor of Neurological Surgery, Neuroscience, Biomedical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science

Director, Center for Innovation in Neuroscience and Technology

Director, Brain Laser Center

Washington University School of Medicine

Department of Neurological Surgery

From: Georjeanne Seligman

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:20 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Georjeanne Erickson

From: Nick

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:51 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Nick Erickson

Product Developer

Revel Innovations, LLC

From: Carlos David Falconi

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 8:54 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post-issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Carlos Falconi

From: Gene Farrelly

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 7:32 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support 2019 Rev Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hello --

I am a patent agent (#70,631), and I am writing to support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

This guidance is essential to bringing consistent and logical application of the law to subject matter eligibility. I have personally seen examiners at the PTO handle this issue with great variability over the last few years. It makes it impossible to advise clients and to predict outcomes associated with prosecuting patents. We need consistent and reliable guidelines to continue to do the great work of capturing clients' innovations in patents.

Thank you.

Regards,

Gene

--

Gene Farrelly

Porchview IP

From: Bob Farris

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 2:34 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

We are concerned about big companies stealing patented ideas from our small company and need the USPTO to help us and all small companies.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Bob Farris

Farris Technology, LLC.

Farris Construction, Inc.

[contact info redacted]



From: Emmett Farris

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 11:03 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear USPTO,

I appreciate the USPTO actions underway and I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. Thank you.

I am concerned about recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO with results that have been both ambiguous and contradictory.

I am also concerned that patent attorneys are unable to clearly advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable.

It is scary to think about cases where a patent has already been issued, then later was not upheld.

The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test.

It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings.

Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

The big corporations are lobbying hard to undo this crucial work. Please continue to drive for equality and clarity for the small inventor.

Protection for discoveries in these industries is key to the USA's progress in science and useful arts in our country and across the world. Small inventors are the catalyst for great opportunity and must be protected.

Thank you again for your efforts to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like logistics, quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical technologies.

Sincerely,

Emmett Farris

From: sid feinleib

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 12:28 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sidney Feinleib

From: Lynn Foster

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 10:41 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Take care, Lynn

Lynn Foster

From: Elliott Franklin

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 12:17 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

Elliott Franklin

From: Barry Frazier

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 6:24 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear sir or madame,

I am writing in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post-issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent-eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, and uniformity and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your efforts to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Barry Frazier

From: Marc French - Koolio

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:35 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Cc:

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Importance: High

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Marc French

Founder & Patent Holder

Koolio, Inc.

From: mike fulkerson

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 7:35 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 revised patent

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Michel Fulkerson, CEO Safety Walker, LLC



From: T G

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 4:43 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

With Regards

Timothy Griffin

From: David Garcia

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:46 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Importance: High

To Whom It May Concern,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

David Garcia

Budding Product Developer

Irvine, CA

From: Lawrence Glaser

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:33 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO.

Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

I happen to be a Patent holder in the important area of Quantum Computing and Communications. I am tired of the Government or the Courts trying to say my ideas are theirs. The patent system is a "deal". The "deal" has terms. In time, the ideas become public property. How this changed seems to be unfair and related to lobbying efforts to the weak minded, who think they make law from a position of administration.

Go back to our Constitution, our right to property and right to protect our property. Everyone wins.

I strongly urge you to adopt new Tax laws or suggest them to law makers. He who buys a patent and makes the product on US soil from US components, should enjoy enormous benefits in the form of further tax breaks. In the end, the tax base increases and we all win. So 4x the cost of the Patent is not unreasonable if the Patent makes the stakeholder money and adds jobs to our economy. Think. This tiny ounce of prevention could exponentially explode into the next great technology, employing millions.

Sincerely,

Larry Glaser

2208 Race Street

Needles, California 92363

From: Marcy

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 10:25 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Reject new guidelines on subject matter eligibility, Docket No. PTO-P-2018-0053

Greetings!

I urge the USPTO not to adopt the guidance on subject matter eligibility set forth in the Request for Comments, Docket No. PTO-P-2018-0053. Instead, the USPTO should provide guidance that ensures examiners apply the Supreme Court's Alice v. CLS Bank decision correctly.

The new guidance expands upon a small number of decisions finding patent claims eligible and ignores the far more numerous decisions in which courts have rejected claims as ineligible for patent protection. It distorts the law and will encourage examiners to grant invalid, abstract patents. Such patents wrongly claim basic ideas, increase litigation costs to no benefit, and harm the public interest.

The USPTO's role is not to narrow Supreme Court holdings, it is to apply them. Please abandon revisions to the Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

Very truly yours,

Marcy J. Gordon

From: Fran & Wayne Graben

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 6:09 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Director Iancu,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over Section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best regards,

E. Wayne Graben

From: Douglas Graham

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:20 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Revised Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

Anything that reduces legal costs helps the individual inventors who struggle with legal costs - particularly after AIA.

Patents are an important means of protecting IP but so are trade secrets particularly for those with limited resources. Please let's keep the rights of trade secret holders as well.

Yours

Douglas Graham

Innovation Managed

From: collier granberry

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 1:43 PM

Cc: Eligibility2019

Subject: US InventoN

Greetings,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.



From: Richard Green

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 12:53 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Comment: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I am writing to support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This Guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post-issuance review of Patents by the USPTO. In various areas of patent law, recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Despite their education and training, even experienced attorneys are unable to advise inventors as to whether or not an invention is patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld even after expensive and withering court-ordered investigation and re-examination. These new Guidelines will also provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This Guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in "Alice v CLS Bank". Nor does this Guidance expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the "Alice" test. It neither ignores other decisions nor distorts the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this Guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over Section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

My patents are simple examples of reducing an abstract idea to physical reality. They are not software patents, nor mathematical concepts nor mental processes, but straightforward hardware utility patents, and they are the result of many years of what may be called "abstract thought reduced to practice". I imagined what might work, ran pilot tests with real hardware and electrical components, and once the idea was proven, applied for utility patents. Further testing proved how well the technology and the invention worked – better than I originally conceived "in the abstract". In a very real sense, abstract thought reduced to practice is what all inventions are about.

I am also concerned about the potential of post-issuance findings in favor of large corporations against "the little guy" or "garage inventors" like myself. It is a major concern to me that with enough backing, anyone with more money than I that can afford extensive litigation can nullify my patents. The common man needs protection for his/her innovations, which in my mind is the purpose of the formation of the patent process (and eventually the USPTO) set out in the Constitution (Article I, Section 8, Clause 8): to "promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to Authors and Inventors the exclusive Right to their respective Writings and Discoveries."

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to re-take the lead in innovation in areas such as quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is absolutely the best way to promote progress in Science and the useful Arts in the present day.

Sincerely,

Richard Green

From: Robn Gustin

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 5:40 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Cc: [EMAIL REDACTED]

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Robin Gustin

From: JimH

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 8:42 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I am writing in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: STEVEN HAMPTON

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 6:42 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Inventors Rights Petition

I am an inventor and the author of "Patent Secrets" c. 2000 wherein I address this same issue. I advise the reader to NOT file a patent and go directly to market if possible because the system is all so broken. This very same problem is rampant with the written word and copyrights have no weight in the literary world. I have lost uncounted thousands of dollars because of Google's inability (or refusal) to detect and penalize unauthorized duplicate content.

But there is nothing more heartbreaking then to lose ones' life work to the money-grubbing mongrel of big business. There will come a time when the United States will cease to be the birthplace of innovative ideas and the economic expansion that could blossom if something isn't done about our rights soon.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Skip Haugen

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:18 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Protecting Innovation

I am not the original author of this, but I support it's content and guidance 100%! There are multitudes of brilliant, imaginative, creative and inventive people in our country that are capable of returning the U.S. to the envy of all other nations in new process and product innovation. They need the freedom and incentive to do so afforded them by the protection of the USPTO, safeguarding them (and their hard work) from fraudulent use and out and out theft from outside entities. This is how it used to be and with the new guidelines, will be again. Thank You!

Rutherford M. Haugen Jr.

7725 SE Mammoth Dr.

Hobe Sound, FL 33455

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank You.

From: Skip Haugen

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 11:43 PM

To: Eligibility2019 <Eligibility2019@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: Protecting Innovation

I support the content and guidance of the comments below 100%! There are multitudes of brilliant, imaginative, creative and inventive people in our country that are capable of returning the U.S. to the envy of all other nations in new process and product innovation. They need the freedom and incentive to do so afforded them by the protection of the USPTO, safeguarding them (and their hard work) from fraudulent use and out and out theft from outside entities. This is how it used to be and with the new guidelines, will be again. Thank You!

Rutherford M. Haugen Jr.

[address redacted]

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank You.

From: Paul Hayes

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:33 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Cc: Josh Malone - US Inventor [EMAIL REDACTED]

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To Whom It May concern,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Further I would recommend Congressional review of Rep. Thomas Massie's bill "Restoring American Leadership in Innovation", the RALI Act. The RALI act would adopt the eligibility related changes proposed by the IPO/AIPLA.

Unlike the Orwellian named 'America Invents Act' the RALI Act would actually do what the name implies.

In addition, I urge Congress to consider the Inventor Protection Act from US Inventor.org. This bill would rebalance the rights of individual inventors versus the power of huge multinational economic monopolies who currently own the vast majority of all patents.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Paul V. Hayes

Inventor and



Managing Member

Hudson Bay Wireless LLC

From: Koolids

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 5:44 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of 2019 Revised patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

2-Mar-19

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency and predictability of examination and post issuance review of the patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

Thank you

Cindy Head Davis

Inventor of Koolids patented

8907 W Adam Ave

Peoria, Arizona 85382

From: Jan Healzer

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:06 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Be fair to All inventors not only big conglomerates with big money. Take care of the small inventor who changes the world.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Jan Healzer,

Invention Lady

From: EAHenrich

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 9:19 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Patent Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your efforts to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Our inventors are assets to our nation and part of what makes America great. We need to inspire and protect the ideas and inventions of exceptional contributors to our way of life. We cannot be a leader of all nations if we do not provide a framework that assures inventors that their contributions will be protected for their own livelihood in the spirit of the capitalist country for which we are founded. Fair and clear guidance will inspire our free nation of exceptional thinkers to invent and innovate to improve the way of life for our nation. The AIA has taken away the innovative spirit of what makes America great. Let's bring back the patent protections and rights that for so long embodied our way of life and that differentiated us from the rest of the world as an innovative powerhouse.

Sincerely,

Alina Henrich

From: rhering254

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 12:55 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 revised patent subject

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Jill Hering

From: Justin Higgins

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 9:58 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

There is a reason why large corporations hate these revisions - their own interests. The AIA is being used with unintended consequences at the cost of small business creation, innovation, and invention - other countries are stunned at our glacial pace to fix an obvious problem ...

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

Justin F. Higgins

Founding Partner - Ridgeview Group LLP

Managing Partner - NeuroHydrate LLC

From: HildieDear

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 8:59 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In support of the new USPTO guidelines

I am writing today in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Linda Hildebrandt

From: HildieDear

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 11:10 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In support of the Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I am writing today in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Linda Hildebrandt



From: Lee Hinman

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:06 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear USPTO:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Lee Hinman CEO

e Market Places LLC

From: Bryan Hinton

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 9:06 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I am writing to register my support for the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. It is my hope that this guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO, which has been sorely lacking.

Sincerely,

Bryan Hinton

From: Frederick Hirth

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 12:43 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Cc: Frederick Hirth

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear Sirs/Madams,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

Frederick Hirth, RPh

From: Jason Hollenbeck

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:43 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Pro patent advocacy

To whom it may concern,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

Jason Hollenbeck

From: Kevin Hollingsworth

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:11 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Kevin Hollingsworth

From: Alan Holly

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 10:01 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Strong Support: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

Please recognize my strong support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

It is time for the United States to, again, become the preeminent leader in the patenting and protecting of technological innovation. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

Please do not follow the false narrative presented by the big money efficient infringers who want to contort and minimize America's law in order to benefit themselves, in the short run, at the price of America in the longer run.

Adoption of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you.

Alan Holly

From: honeckb

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 8:29 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To the USPTO,

As an investor in the patent space, I have watched as the value of patents, patent portfolios, and innovation companies has dropped substantially due the lack of predictability brought on by PTAB proceedings, and the cancellation of patents already adjudicated in District Courts as not invalid. Meanwhile these conditions have led to the US patent system falling from #1 to #12 worldwide in the 2018 rankings for patent protection, as published by a US Chamber of Commerce report. Future investment towards innovation by individuals, financial firms, and companies will remain stalled until predictability is restored. As the recent SCOTUS ruling in Oil States indicated, there is further work to be done to ensure fairness in due process, as well as considerations regarding applicability of AIA post-grant proceedings against pre-AIA issued patents, but I am encouraged and thankful that action is proposed at this time on subject matter eligibility guidance.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Brian Honeck

Sagamore Hills, OH

From: thebabe8

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 8:33 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To the USPTO,

As an investor in the patent space, I have watched as the value of patents, patent portfolios, and innovation companies has dropped substantially due the lack of predictability brought on by PTAB proceedings, and the cancellation of patents already adjudicated in District Courts as not invalid. Meanwhile these conditions have led to the US patent system falling from #1 to #12 worldwide in the 2018 rankings for patent protection, as published by a US Chamber of Commerce report. Future investment towards innovation by individuals, financial firms, and companies will remain stalled until predictability is restored. As the recent SCOTUS ruling in Oil States indicated, there is further work to be done to ensure fairness in due process, as well as considerations regarding applicability of AIA post-grant proceedings against pre-AIA issued patents, but I am encouraged and thankful that action is proposed at this time on subject matter eligibility guidance.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Elizabeth Honeck

Sagamore Hills, OH



From: thoneck

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 11:04 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patents

I personally support revising the Patent Subject Matter Guidance to preclude large corporations from stealing intellectual property and then using the courts and PTAB to get jury guilty verdicts reversed or remanded. This action results in small businesses having to expend large sums of money and time in legal actions. This situation is needlessly wrong.

I write in support of the "Guidance" which will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Greg Horsley

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 11:16 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. In my opinion this guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO.

Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory such attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable with any degree of certainty. Without such certainty, potential patent owners will not gamble on wasting their money seeking patents and in cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld, especially with the current PTAB judges. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not ignore current court decisions, nor distort the law, but rather solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Greg Horsley

Attorney at Law

From: David Hoyle

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 11:25 AM

To: Eligibility2019 <Eligibility2019@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

David Hoyle

President/CEO

B.E. Technology

From: David Hoyle

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 3:05 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

David Hoyle

From: Dennis Hubbs

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 8:23 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: New Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Dennis M. Hubbs

From: Eric Huber

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:50 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Eric Huber

From: ironicslip

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:23 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Highly Support 2019 Subject Matter Guidance

To Whom It May Concern:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Henry Hudson

Pro-Innovation

From: Edith M. Hunker

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 7:55 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Patent laws

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Edie Hunker

1595 Gilmer Avenue

Montgomery, AL 36104

Cell: 334-462-0113



From: Libby Huskey

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 1:33 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

As a licensed Patent Attorney since 2006, I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Libby Huskey | Registered Patent Attorney | Of Counsel | Sheridan Law LLC

From: Sam Irby

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 11:19 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Restore inventors rights

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Randy Jack

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:24 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Patent Rights, Protect Us!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post-issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

Randy Jack

Owner NuDay Pet Products, LLC

Design Board Of Advisors Bow Wow Labs, Inc

From: Jean Jallit

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 2:05 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice.

This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics.

Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Wiki Wags Disposables

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:06 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

This may seem like a nuisance reminder but I can assure you this is a lifeline to those who need it the most... I for one! I am tired of being the recipient of the big corporations' rip-off attacks. Once they saw how their bottom line could prosper from my hard honest work, they went to work trying to bury me while stealing my hard efforts. I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Linda Jangula, Pres/CEO

Wiki Wags brand Disposable Male Dog Wraps

From: BOB JENNINGS

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 4:49 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 eligibility guidance

To whom it may concern:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Bob Jennings

From: BOB JENNINGS

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 9:24 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 eligibility guidance

To whom it may concern:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Bob Jennings

From: Mike Jimenez

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 9:39 PM

To: eligibility2019

Subject: Support

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Regards,

Mike Jimenez



From: Prem Jonnala

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 1:00 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

From: Stephen Kalkofen

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 5:49 PM

To: Eligibility2019 <Eligibility2019@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: carolkerler

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:48 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear USPTO,

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts.

Carol Kerler

From: Chris Killer

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:32 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Faithfully,

Chris Killer

From: dean king

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:03 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for new guidance

I am writing to support the revised changes of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

The guidance holds to Supreme Court decisions.

The USA needs to regain its place atop the world of innovation, this guidance will help to do that, it has recently fallen well behind the rest of the world, and this new guidance is badly needed.

Dean King

From: dean king

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 9:21 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for new guidance

I am writing to support the revised changes of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

The guidance holds to Supreme Court decisions.

The USA needs to regain its place atop the world of innovation, this guidance will help to do that, it has recently fallen well behind the rest of the world, and this new guidance is badly needed.

Dean King

From: davidklein

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:15 PM

To: Eligibility2019 <Eligibility2019@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: Support for the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Importance: High

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Ron Klein

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 7:34 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Eligibility

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

THE GRANDFATHER OF POSSIBILITIES TM

Leading The Way With New Innovations For The Future

Strategic Advisor - Mentor - Entrepreneur - Inventor - Speaker



From: John W. Kohut

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 2:06 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: The 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for attempting to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation. Protection of discoveries is the absolute best way to promote innovation and leadership in our economy.

John W. Kohut

From: JM Kraemer

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 6:02 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hello,

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best regards,

Jeanne-Marie Kraemer

Bedford, NH

From: Russ Kruse

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 1:40 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Patent Reforms

Dear sir,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Regards,

Russ Kruse

Product Developer

GunPro

From: Bruce Kuziola

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 9:31 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your efforts to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and the useful arts in our modern day.

---

Bruce Kuziola

Chief Financial and Administrative Officer

From: ExtremeMist PCS

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 12:34 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To Whom it may concern,

Even though this is a form letter, I could not of stated it any better.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Ron Laikind, President

Extreme Mist PCS, LLC

From: alex langer design

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 5:51 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

Alex Langer

[address redacted]

From: Jo Lise Lara

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:22 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support

Hello,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

Thank you.

From: Luke Lashley

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:41 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely ....

Gene Luke Lashley

Inventor



From: Keith Lawrence

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 7:06 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Do not allow big tech, giant corporations, and special interests to upset our constitutionally implemented patent system. The U.S. patent system must remain fair to all, no matter the size of their bank account. For more than two centuries the U.S. patent system has encouraged technological advances that literally made this country GREAT.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Keith Lawrence

Independent Inventor

Ponca City, OK

From: Jeffrey Lease

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 8:39 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Eligibility

Dear Director Iancu,

I am an investor in the patent ownership field and feel strongly that the pendulum has swung way too far in favor of the Gang of 5. It was a sad day in American business when the idea of efficient infringement was conceived and implemented and an even sadder day when the AIA was passed with bad intentions. You have done admirably in bringing sanity to a very important aspect of national prosperity and security and I highly encourage you to continue on despite the well funded headwinds being blown your way by Google mouthpieces such as the EFF. The individual inventor (that one entity our founding fathers had in mind when the right to a patent was written into our Constitution) has been the backbone of American invention and must remain so to maintain our country's place as the world leader in ingenuity. The individual inventor MUST be allowed to profit from his invention in ANY way he deems legally viable. To price him or her out of the marketplace (even if it is selling his patent to a non-practicing entity) dooms our country to the second tier of innovation and jeopardizes both our economy and our national security.

I am including the verbiage of the form letter that so accurately describes my position on these current proceedings. I hope you read them with the concern for the future of our country which motivates me to write today.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you for your time,

Jeffrey Lease

From: Paul Lin

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:30 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Craig Litherland

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 12:10 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Subject matter guidance

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing to you to voice my support for the 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. Director Iancu's work is impactful and on-point. Patent eligibility must stop being a vague moving target.

Even experienced attorneys have difficulty conveying what is eligible, and this type of uncertainty is amplified throughout industry, from inventors to investors; indeed, all stakeholders stand to benefit from a more certain patent landscape. The added cost of having vague and uncertain USC 101 laws is manifold: it manifests in patent prosecution due to complicated and inefficient patent drafting to accommodate an unknown and moving target that can vary greatly between USPTO art units. Likewise, of course, prosecution costs increase as the examiner and the attorney are often unsure about the boundaries of the law. Finally, these vague laws cost society direct investment because investors may be wary to bet on startups while larger companies may benefit disproportionately because of the ease of challenging the eligibility of patents may reduce the patent protection, and hence valuation, of startups.

Strong protection for discoveries in emerging fields such as AI, quantum computing, and medical diagnostics is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day. Please make the US a leader in IP protection once again.

Regards,

Craig Litherland

San Jose, CA

From: Gary Liu

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:19 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I am writing to support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. I believe this guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are confused, let alone an inventor like me. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Gary Liu

Plano, TX

From: Tony Lopez

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 4:29 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Please enact the much-needed reforms of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hello!

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you very much for your time.

-Tony Lopez

Arlington, VA

.

From: Albert Luh

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 7:13 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Albert Luh



From: Gene Luoma

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 10:29 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

Gene Luoma

[phone number redacted]

From: phlynch

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:25 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance!!

As a US citizen, and an individual inventor with one granted patent and 2 patent pendings, I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Patrick Lynch

An Individual USA Inventor (1 patent and 2 patent pending submissions)

From: Joe Macias

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 1:12 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I Joseph Albert Macias, of Happy Valley Oregon, write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

From: marti mac

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 1:35 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: My patents just sit

In support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: theVoltist

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 1:34 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

All the best,

Charles Mahoney BS, MS

Independent Engineer and Inventor

From: Vinay Malik

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:22 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: comments to recent 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank You

Vinay Malik

Enterprise IP Legal Solutions

From: Willie Thompson

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:45 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Uspto

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Option 2 - High Impact

Write your own letter of support and email to [eligibility2019@uspto.gov](mailto:eligibility2019@uspto.gov). It can be 50 words or 5,000 words - totally up to you. Just make sure that you say you support the new guidelines. Some suggestions:

- Reword the italicized content above.
- Add a paragraph about your personal experience and concerns about protecting inventions
- Select portions of this IP Watchdog article and copy/paste or restate in you own words.
- Review the official guidance, then copy and paste portions that you appreciate, with edits or comments to show that you agree with each particular point. An email with just one statement is enough to make high impact.

This will not happen without you, once again we need all hands on deck. Feel free to share your questions or suggestions, my contact info is below.

Josh Malone

Inventor of Bunch O Balloons

Volunteer with US Inventor

Plano, TX U.S.



From: david

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 8:13 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In support

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld, which casts significant doubt over both the value of patents and the trustworthiness of our patent process as a whole. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility, reduce arbitrary patent invalidation and help restore confidence in the process.

This guidance acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Regards,

David Martelle, Owner & Chief Designer

Creative By Design llc Product Development & Licensing

[web address and phone numbers redacted]

From: Dave Martinson

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 7:01 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Urgent: USPTO Comments Due March 8

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

David J Martinson

Patent Holder and Private Investor

Henderson, NV USA

From: DMasi

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 3:22 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Eligibility Guidance

Please find this email to confirm my opinion below: I'm a small inventor relying on the strong standards of the USPTO Patent Laws and Standings.

Who and why should we have to jump and be concerned with our Patents being discharged.

----- I write and ask for our leaders to support the 2019 matter as detailed below-----

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post-issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best regards,

Doug

Doug Masi

Sunshine Innovations LLC

From: Eduardo Matos

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:23 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Eduardo Matos

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 7:56 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

From: Robert Matthews

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:17 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: eligibility2019@uspto.gov

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Robert H. Matthews

From: Leo Mazur

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:57 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In support

As an Independent Inventor and the President of the Inventors Society of South Florida which has about 200 members I support the new Director of the USPTO and all his efforts to revive our Intellectual Property Rights.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank You,

Leo Mazur

From: Thane McCall

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:16 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: support of 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I am in support of the new guidelines because I don't think it is fair that large companies can blatantly refuse to honor patents that inventors cannot afford to litigate. Make the big corporations pay for using the inventor's patents!

--

Thane McCall

Broker-Associate | BRE#00665595

Sierra Sotheby's International Realty



From: Bryan McGill

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 7:55 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hello!

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Brian McGovern

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 9:52 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To whom it may concern:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Brian McGovern

From: Carl Michael

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 3:25 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Audrey Miller

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:23 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Miller, Sophie E.

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 1:43 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To whom it may concern:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sophie Eve Miller

From: Mark Milliken

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 8:23 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hello,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Regards,

Mark Milliken

Colorado, USA

From: Lawrence Mitchell

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:00 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: New governance

I am writing in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. Please don't let powerful and influential corporations dictate the laws. What should be common sense regulation that is fair for all parties needs to stand. This will not change Alice or expand on the Alice test. Thank you for your consideration.

Lawrence Mitchell

Hayward, CA

From: R J Moncuse

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:31 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day

Very Sincerely,

R .J. Moncuse

P.O. Box 6

Burleson, TX 76097-0006



From: Tom Montelione

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 12:08 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To Whom It May Concern:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Tom

Tom Montelione, Ph.D.

Vertex Software, Inc.

From: Pierce Mooney

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 11:57 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In Support of Inventors

Instead of letting the lobbying forces of the oligopolies stomp out the creative, inventing minds of our country...

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

Sincerely,

Pierce Mooney

President at Parsound

From: Ron Moore

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:03 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

There I write in support of the 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance does not expand on Supreme Court holdings in Alice, nor does it expand on lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. Instead it provides a rational and fair way to apply these holdings in a way that supports the longstanding traditions that made the United States the leader in innovation and the world's greatest economy. Adoption of this guidance will return a measure of sanity to the process of obtaining and maintaining a patent and provide clarity to all participants in the patent process (not just the PTO). This is sorely needed. In the wake of Alice, and the contradictory rulings in multiple courts and across the PTO examination and post grant review process, a chilling effect on innovation and patent protection has occurred.

I have spent my entire career in the technology industry and I have had the opportunity to see many new and exciting technologies and products come to market. I have known and worked with key inventors of many technologies. These people work hard, they see solutions to problems that make everyone on the planet's life better, and they inspire others. It is these people that have been most damaged by the paralyzing and arbitrary application of Alice. A cottage industry in challenging any patent on the grounds of Section 101 has flourished that boasts how many hard earned patents by inventors have been stripped away after billions of dollars in conception, reduction to practice, prosecution, and investment in productization have been invested by those inventors.

Continuation of the status quo of confusion and degradation of the value of a United States Patent, immense wasted hours of precious patent examiner time, numerous frivolous challenges of issued patents at the Patent Trial and Appeals Board, and a nearly unlimited number of automatic challenges in District and Appellate Courts can only lead to further degradation of the value of a United States Patent and further incentive to share inventive ideas through the patent process which will in the long term hurt the United States economy.

Leadership in many cutting edge technical areas are at stake that require vast investment to research and invent. Foreign companies and state actors are heavily investing in things like quantum computing (China most notably). Failure to invest in the United States in new technologies will ultimately doom us to second rate (or worse) status in the world technological, medical, and industrial arts.

It would be a sad legacy to pass on to future generations that they will have to explain to their children how the United States was once a world leader in so many areas and are now just a purchaser of those things from others.

Heed the words of the Justices as they appear in the Alice decision "tread carefully in construing this exclusionary principle lest it swallow all of patent law".

Please implement this Guidance and tread carefully....

Sincerely,

Ron Moore

From: Justin Morasch

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 8:16 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In Support of: The 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear Representative,

I am writing to you today in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. A cornerstone of our economic success here in the United States, has been the belief and maintenance of strong property rights. This fundamental concept is the basis for a majority of our country's success over the years. Strong property rights have been the driving force behind the U.S. attracting the best and brightest from around the world; drawn to our country by the promise that a person can own the rights to ideas that solve problems and potentially enrich the individual and our society as a whole.

As a lifelong student of world history and economics, it is clear to me that strong property rights creates a multitude of positive incentives. Property rights are the driving force behind innovation all supported by the simple concept that a person or company is only limited by the reach of their creativity and brilliance. Ownership creates the ultimate incentive for responsibility, and wide reaching pursuit of excellence in every way.

As you can probably tell, I am passionate about this subject, particularly in light of the shifts that I have seen in the past decade. The signing of the America Invents Act, the creation of PTAB and implementation of what appears to be a systematic stripping of the property rights of small U.S. companies in favor of large technology and pharmaceutical companies is disturbing. The consequences are slow to filter through the system but the consequences are severe and long lasting. An unfair and exorbitant privilege has been gifted to large technology and pharmaceutical companies in particular via the AIA and creation of PTAB. By allowing large companies to challenge every aspect of previously patented IP through proxy entities and other loopholes; the AIA and PTAB offers well funded large companies an unfair advantage by virtue of the fact that a large war chest can afford going through the PTAB process many times via many different proxies, all while forcing the small American business to defend themselves and draining their often limited resources. This has resulted in the perversion of a system that was meant to be merit based and instead has become "pay to win". I am about as strong a capitalist and free market supporter as there is, but the obvious abuse of this system by large companies, the introduction of politics in to what was meant to be an unbiased system is frankly sickening. It's hard to overlook the obvious connection between large tech company political contributions of both money and information and the passing of AIA. The initial appointment of Google's former lead counsel (Michelle Lee) to a leadership position within the PTAB at the time was just one of many instances of the system having been compromised. The subsequent statistics from the PTAB are evidence of this and earned the PTAB the apt renaming "patent death squad". For the record, I am not an inventor nor have I ever applied for a patent. I don't have a "dog in this fight" aside from having young children. My motivation is simply that I know how important property rights are to our system and I don't want my

children to grow up in a corporate cronyism system. I am candidly writing all of this in hopes that the American patriots within our patent system, recognize that we, the informed public, care about these issues and hope that you will make the tough decisions to preserve this very important part of our system. Please keep future generations of small American inventors in mind when making these crucial decisions. As an aside, my understanding is that the current leadership has made efforts towards correcting the bias and abuse of our system under Michelle Lee. I can imagine that the pressures from politicians and Silicon Valley are intense, but the American public appreciates any work toward returning the enforcement of our rules to a system based upon merit, justice and the protection of our small American businesses and the middle class.

The 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best Regards,

Justin Morasch

From: Iowa Inventors Group

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 11:49 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

Frank Morosky

President

Iowa Inventors Group

From: Scott Moskowitz

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:14 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Scott Moskowitz

Inventor



From: Inventors Association

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:01 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

Laura Myers

Inventor and Concerned US Citizen

Member, Inventors Association of Arizona

Scottsdale, Arizona

From: ihor nakonecznyj

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:52 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Please allow me to give you a personal example. My son is attending University of Texas at Dallas as an undergrad in Biomedical Engineering. He is working with a professor on research for a glucose based fuel cell to be used to power pacemakers and other implanted devices. His objective is to co-patent the result and either license or manufacture. I advised him not to bother - as an individual, how could he defend his invention against theft by a large corporation with billions to spend stealing it? How would he fund the endless challenges to the validity? Court costs? Millions. I told him that, in my opinion, the "judges" at the USPTO and PTAB have been bought and paid for by FANG companies based on the ludicrous convoluted decisions that have emanated from USPTO since the FANG sponsored change in patent law.

Please help to reverse the erosion in inventor rights and, perhaps equally important, the perception of the USPTO. This Guidance is a great first step.

Thank you

Ihor Nakonecznyj

From: ihor nakonecznyj

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 9:57 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Please allow me to give you a personal example. My son is attending University of Texas at Dallas as an undergrad in Biomedical Engineering. He is working with a professor on research for a glucose based fuel cell to be used to power pacemakers and other implanted devices. His objective is to co-patent the result and either license or manufacture. I advised him not to bother - as an individual, how could he defend his invention against theft by a large corporation with billions to spend stealing it? How would he fund the endless challenges to the validity? Court costs? Millions. I told him that, in my opinion, the "judges" at the USPTO and PTAB have been bought and paid for by FANG companies based on the ludicrous convoluted decisions that have emanated from USPTO since the FANG sponsored change in patent law.

Please help to reverse the erosion in inventor rights and, perhaps equally important, the perception of the USPTO. This Guidance is a great first step.

Thank you

Ihor Nakonecznyj

From: dick

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 7:13 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Richard H "Dick" Nash

Independent Health Insurance Agent

From: Preston Nelson

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 6:45 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Patent Revisions

As an individual inventor that has a passion for innovation!

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Preston Nelson

From: Vincent Nix

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 3:03 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO.

The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over what is patentable in section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

I thank you in advance of the completion of the adoption of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, medical diagnostics and every other invention that finds quarter within the framework of a viable USP&TM offices' applicable rules and regulations.

Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in every newly and uniquely conceived or reworked endeavor.

From: Andrew Norman

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 1:34 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In support of 2019 Revised Subject Matter Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Andrew J Norman

From: bcn0209

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 11:17 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

Bryan Norman



From: Harry O'Hea

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 12:46 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Mater Eligibility Guidance

To Whom It May Concern:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

William O'Hea

Manasquan, NJ

From: ed oles

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:42 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: My comments to USPTO

As holder of several US patents, I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Edward J. Oles

Ligonier, PA 15658

From: Brian Paternostro

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 1:28 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 revised patent subject matter eligibility

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Ledel Patton

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 7:00 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Greg Pauba

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 4:38 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Take Care,

Greg Pauba

From: Craig or Debi

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:20 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Craig Payne

From: paul

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 8:18 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear Sirs,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Respectfully,

Paul Peterson

From: Adam Philipp

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:52 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support the Revised Subject Matter Eligibility

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post-issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best,

Adam L.K. Philipp

Founder

ÆONLAW\_



From: Jason Phillips

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:06 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Respectfully,

Jason

From: Arnaud G. Pichon

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 11:14 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: USPTO Comments Due March 8

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

AGP

Houston, Texas

From: Pilar Love

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 8:04 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Patent Subject Matter

To Whom It May Concern,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Valerie Pilar Love

From: Joe Pino

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 1:55 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

PRODUCT DEVELOPER, Giuseppe Pino

Future Electric Corp

From: Kehinde Popoola

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:50 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

From: Pat Powers

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 5:03 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I am writing to support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will clarify and bring consistency to examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

I am convinced that a strong and successful working patent system has been a key element in allowing the United States to become the technological leader of the world, and to continue in that role, it is essential that we have a workable and predictable patent system that rewards and protects innovation.

Respectfully submitted, J. P. Powers

From: Jack Powers

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 11:56 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To: USPTO

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance March 2, 2019

I am an inventor with two United States patents to my name.

I strongly believe in clear and comprehensive rules on patentability for a viable patent system.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO.

John T. Powers Jr.

747 SE 27th St.

Gresham, Oregon 97080

From: William Ramey

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:03 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Patent Eligibility

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

William P. Ramey, III

Ramey & Schwaller, LLP



From: Karen Ratliff

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 11:20 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your efforts to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Karen Ratliff

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 9:42 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Comments in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Karen Ratliff

From: tom rauscher

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 6:29 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support the 2019 Revised Patent

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

Tom Rauscher

From: Stephen Reed

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:44 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear Director of the Patent Office,

I am writing to you today to let you know I support the new Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance for 2019.

Inventors have taken a severe beating since the introduction of the America Invents Act.

Whatever the motivation of the originators of that act, the effect has been catastrophic for patent owners and inventors.

For centuries, the US patent system protected inventors and helped spur the US economy to become the strongest in the world. We need to restore the protections we previously provided to the innovators, creators, and patent holders who have done so much for our economy and standard of living.

Thank you for listening to my views,

Regards,

Stephen Reed

From: Rick Reese \LINK Group

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:43 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: garecpa

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 12:00 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: U.S. Patent System

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best Regards,

Gary R. Repovsch, CPA

From: Roberto Restrepo

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 6:29 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: In support

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Roberto I Restrepo

[address and phone redacted]

From: JOHN REYNOLDS

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 3:35 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I am writing in support of this revised eligibility guidance. In my opinion, it will help to improve and better clarify the examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. In recent years the rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Thanks to our new Director of the Patent Office for working so hard to protect the rights of our inventors and to revive our patent system. Sincerely, John D. Reynolds



From: Will Rhame

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 7:10 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

Will D. Rhame

Author - Neurotherapist

Mental Sports Coach

Board Member of The Florida Sports Hall of Fame

Founder of Power with Words, a weekly Mental Hygiene Lesson

The Voyagers Series, Europe - Africa

1st Books of their kind, to be administered for Retention.

From: Ridgely, Charles

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 2:32 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: RE: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law regarding subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and other useful arts in our modern day.

Cordially,

Charles Ridgely

Rutan & Tucker, LLP

From: DAVID ROSS

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 9:04 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

David A. Ross

From: Nea Ross

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 8:36 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: The 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Regards,

N.P Ross

From: Mike Saigh

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:31 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: RE: Small Independent Inventors are almost dead- we are now speaking loudly

I am writing you in support of Josh Malone and thousands of other small inventors like him.

Hi Josh,

I invented the first electronic book and IP network (forerunner to iTunes and the Kindle starting mid 80's) and many other patents.

The small independent inventor as you properly and profoundly stated is getting killed by the USPTO and big company lobbyist.

They killed me several times but I keep picking myself up from quick sand. They owe us solid footing. That's all we ask is fair play.

We small independent inventors need you to keep thinking and keep that creative most brilliant mind inventing more great things.

Prior to hearing about yourself, all I wanted to do is invent and not constantly fight a ridged hand.

I want to stand in line to help.

Keep it up Josh! Keep it up.

Most Sincerely,

Mike Saigh

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries is these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Mike Saigh

President

Liquid Rarity Exchange, LLC

From: Wayne Salhany

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:50 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hi,

I was recently made aware of this issue. I agree it is important to improve the clarity, consistency and predictability of the management of patents because it will improve the overall implementation of patent enforcement and save money for all the parties.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you.

I have an US patent on my invention and I approve this email campaign.

Wayne

Personally, I enjoy working about 18 hours a day. Besides the short catnaps I take each day, I average about four to five hours of sleep per night. -- Thomas Edison

From: Stephen Salzer

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 11:45 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Patent Reform

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Stephen J. Salzer, M.D. Managing Director Greenwich Venture Partners LLC



From: danny sanchez

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:17 PM

To: Eligibility2019 <Eligibility2019@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: Support

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

From: paul.sanilid

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 6:54 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

From: daniel sarfati

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 7:06 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

As an inventor with 4 pending patents at the time of this email, and having spent a significant amount of my own hard-earned money under the assumption that my inventions will be protected, I am deeply concerned by the current patent and intellectual property environment. As such I feel much of the effort involved in designing, producing and then trying to protect my invention may get lost due to the uncertainty of the situation.

For this reason I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

Daniel Sarfati

From: Thomas E. Sawyer

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 1:06 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

As a shareholder and former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of a corporation holding almost twenty patents, I have had many interactions with the United States Patent and Trademark Office's (USPTO) examiners and administrative patent judges. After issuance, the validity of the patents were challenged by multiple IPRs filed by several large firms thought to be infringing one or more of the patents. While it appears that the patent owner has prevailed in all instances, it took many months, and in some cases over a year, and millions of dollars to resolve these issues. The appeals, following each favorable decision on behalf of the company, appear to be without merit, but continue to disrupt its function.

Thus, I am writing in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. After my review, it appears that this guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of both examinations and post issuance review of patents by the administrative patent judges of the USPTO. Recent rulings by the federal courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and often contradictory. It appears that even experienced attorneys in the field of patent law are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines would seem to provide a consistent and more logical application of the existing laws on subject matter eligibility.

While I am not an attorney, the guidance does not appear to expand on the U. S. Supreme Court's holdings in *Alice*, nor expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the *Alice* test. The guidance would seem to acknowledge and simplify the confusing and apparently contradictory holdings of various sources. Adoption of this guidance would seem to provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes related to Section 101 within the judicial system and the USPTO.

It is obvious that Director Andrei Iancu of the USPTO and his staff have put forth substantial effort to enhance the functioning of that organization to protect technological innovation in America. The effort is courageous and commendable for a government agency, and will be a boon to inventors, investors, law firms, and all stakeholders in new technologies.

From: Wesley Schadenfreud

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:20 PM

To: Eligibility2019 <Eligibility2019@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: Support 2019 Revised Patent Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Wes

From: Dave Schaffran

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:45 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Fix Our Patent System

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank You

From: Eric Schmid

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:33 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day

Respectfully

Eric Schmid

Schmid Tool

Eric G Schmid

Vice President

From: Mark Schoening

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 1:38 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Patent Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post-issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

Mark Schoening IDSA

BASE 4X4



From: Doreen Schooley

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 1:38 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Doreen Schooley

From: Carl M. Schrock

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 10:40 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: FW: In support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Importance: High

Please reward the inventors of this world by giving them what they deserve – true ownership of the fruits of their labor.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Carl Schrock

From: Mike Schulze

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 6:21 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hello,

I firmly support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward, stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

To reiterate, I wholeheartedly support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

Sincerely,

Michael Schulze

Registered in the Patent Bar #75,230

From: Ted Schwartz

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:36 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Ted R Schwartz

From: Ted Schwartz

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 9:14 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Ted R Schwartz

From: Tom Scott

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 1:20 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

-Tom Scott

From: Ila Scott-Ford

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 11:50 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Ila Scott-Ford



From: PHILIP SENK

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 8:48 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To Whom it may concern,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Regards,

Philip Senk

From: S Serfass

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 9:25 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance... For!

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Stephen R. Serfass

From: director

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 6:07 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: USPTO Comments

USPTO:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

Additionally, this guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice, does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test, and does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Adopting this guidance (and otherwise providing certainty in a system that is currently anything but certain) but will bring greater stability to our US patent system, and will pave the way so that the US can again be at the forefront of technology.

Britten Sessions

---

Britten Sessions

Associate Dean of Intellectual Property

Director/Founder, Intellectual Property Clinic

Lincoln Law School of San Jose

From: Ramy Shenouda

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 1:25 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

Dear Sir or Madam

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. It also helps to remove contradictory rulings and Examiner evaluation. The new guidelines will provide for a rational examination of eligibility.

This guidance acknowledges and solves the confusing, unfair and contradictory work by the USPTO. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

IPR's and Reviews have been used as a tool to steal patents from small inventors. Such actions deprive the U.S of considerable intellectual and material wealth.

Note: We have patents and intellectual property that can protect nuclear power plants and high rise buildings in the United States from terror attacks, and we are waiting until our own government can protect our intellectual property before our country can benefit from these inventions.

it is absolutely shameful that we have to fight the Germans and Chinese from stealing our intellectual property without any help from our own government....

Fatigue Fracture Technology

Ramy S. Shenouda

From: Harry Shualy (BLOOMBERG/ 120 PARK)

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 11:45 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To Whom It May Concern,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Harry Shualy

From: Chris Sibert

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 7:40 PM

To: Eligibility2019 <Eligibility2019@USPTO.GOV>

Subject:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Tom Sinnott

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:17 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Scott Smiley

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 7:42 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even I, an experienced patent attorney, am not able to confidently advise my clients as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you.

Best Regards,

Scott Smiley



From: dean smith

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:01 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Dean Smith

Palm Springs, Ca

From: Doretha Smith

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 6:40 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Doretha Smith

From: Duane Smith

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:29 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Duane Smith

From: deanshoes

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 4:36 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

R.D. Smith

Indio, Ca

From: Trae Smith

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:25 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

Roy R. Smith III (Trae)

President

Shoes by Firebug LLC

From: AL

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 9:46 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: USPTO

To Whom it may Concern:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Albert D. Smouse

From: Bradley Snyder

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 9:41 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Saving The Vital USA Patent System

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. The patent system that our country, and the world depends on has been nearly destroyed. Even more recently, some new support has been shown for patents. Help save the country, or at least slow its decline. The 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance will help. Its value should not be underestimated. It not only corrects specific practices, it provides valuable leadership.

From: Gary Sorden

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 12:06 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

Gary Sorden

Managing Principal

Klemchuk LLP



From: Clara Miller

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:50 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To Whom It May Concern:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Clara Spalter Miller

President

Regulus International Capital Corp.

From: Somnium Guitars

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 3:56 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best regards,

Mark Stadnyk

Somnium Guitars

From: Flip-Lights, LLC

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 11:06 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Aaron and Erin Staeben

Flip-Lights, LLC

From: Gary Stecklein

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 11:44 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To Whom It May Concern,

I hold 11 patents but no longer seek patent protection because I cannot afford to defend my patents thanks to the USPTO that allows anyone to challenge my patents in the Patent Trial and Appeal Board and because I can no longer file for infringement in my district nor obtain an injunction to have infringement stopped nor obtain punitive damages for infringement. Nor can I obtain investment in my patent property because of these conditions. But there appears to be some hope at the end of the tunnel.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

If you wish to promote US economic growth, insuring that US issued patents are enforceable and allowing punitive damages to be obtained when infringement occurs is the best way to spur innovation.

Gary Stecklein

Grail Engineering

From: Juan Antonio Suarez

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 11:46 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To Whom It May Concern,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Best Regards,

Juan Antonio Suarez

From: suarez111

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 11:50 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To Whom It May Concern,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Juan Antonio Suarez

From: Jeremy S

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 1:06 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Cc: [EMAIL REDACTED]

Subject: Support for 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day. Clear definitions and direction establish consistency, and can only help further project the patent system based on its ethical values it was founded on, inspiring companies and individuals to develop new innovation, in a capitalistic manner.

Best,

Jeremy

—

Jeremy Suelflow

Summit Product Development, LLC™

[email address redacted]

From: Mark Svendsen

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 11:02 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

Dear patent office,

I cannot invent or start my business with the great upset in patent laws (the PTAB is where they destroy your patent) As seen on tv products MUST have strong patents,

therefore...

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

Regards

Mark Svendsen

Inventor



From: Matthew Szymczyk

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:54 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear USPTO,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Matthew Szymczyk

CEO

Zugara, Inc.

From: Myron Taylor

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:30 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear Sirs:

I, as a patent holder and small business owner, write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Myron E Taylor

21604 Gentry Lane

Brookeville MD 20833

From: Jim Tomlin

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 8:20 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Cc: jjtomlin

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Jim R. Tomlin

1435 Edinburgh Street

San Mateo, CA 94402

From: Alan Tores

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:02 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: USPTO

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

Alan Tores

From: WESLEY TRAMMEL

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:28 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To Whom It May Concern,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Wesley Trammel

From: fqtran

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:47 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To The Director of USPTO

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Dr F.Q. Tran, PhD

Solid States Physics

From: Sera .

Sent: Wednesday, March 6, 2019 12:22 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sera Turgut

From: Michael Vanoni

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 10:45 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for new guidelines

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely

Michael Vanoni



From: SAMIR VARMA

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:19 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: Danielle Vernon

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 4:16 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

To whom it may concern,

I write today in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

It is imperative that we continue to improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld.

I believe the new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility. This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test.

It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. I believe that adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your efforts to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thankyou for your time and consideration of this letter.

Sincerely,

Danielle Vernon

Inventor Backbone cushion

From: rvilker

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 7:02 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Comments - 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I am a proud American who has lived a long and fulfilling life in so small measure due to our Constitution and the American values of integrity, fairness and respect.

Our patent system is broken and does not represent our US values.

It is for this reason I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Regards,

-Bob Vilker

From: George Voirol

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 8:50 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance - Please Support

George M. Voirol

Monday, March 4, 2019

Attn.: Director of the Patent Office

E: [eligibility2019@uspto.gov](mailto:eligibility2019@uspto.gov)

Dear Director:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

George M. Voirol

George M. Voirol

From: FORREST WAGNER

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 5:54 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Revised Patent Suubject Matter

Dear Patent Professional,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts.

Forrest Wagner

1166 Freedom Road

Elburn, Illinois 60118

From: Don Waldecker

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 8:30 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Donald E. Waldecker

From: Greg Wampler

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:45 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Follow up

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

From: billward11

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:20 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 revised patent subject eligibility guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Regards,

Bill

Bill Ward

TopShelf Design



From: John Ward

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 9:58 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thanks,

John Ward

From: ralphwarmack

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 10:51 AM

To: Eligibility2019 <Eligibility2019@USPTO.GOV>

Subject: Support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Ralph E. Warmack, PhD

Registered Patent Agent #70,741

From: Bob Weber

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 8:50 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Robert P Weber

From: Weiss

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 9:20 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear Sir or Ma'am:

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Bill Weiss

Springfield, MO

From: joe

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 7:50 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Hello,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Joe Welchert

Kateco, LLC

From: wwesse

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 12:16 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: The 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your efforts to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

This is crucial in the long-term technological domain as advances in all the sciences require decades of learning and labor to produce new intellectual properties of unprecedented complexity.

Regards,

William Wesse

From: Mike Wiersch

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 7:57 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 PEG

Dear USPTO,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Kindest regards,

Mike Wiersch, esq.

From: Jim Willeke

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 4:37 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

--

-jim

Jim Willeke



From: Nicole Williams

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 6:10 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Nicole Williams

--

Nicole Williams, Director of Patent Acquisitions and Licensing

2s Ventures, LLC

From: Ralph Williams

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 8:35 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Option 2 - High Impact

Write your own letter of support and email to [eligibility2019@uspto.gov](mailto:eligibility2019@uspto.gov). It can be 50 words or 5,000 words - totally up to you. Just make sure that you say you support the new guidelines. Some suggestions:

- Reword the italicized content above.
- Add a paragraph about your personal experience and concerns about protecting inventions
- Select portions of this IP Watchdog article and copy/paste or restate in you own words.
- Review the official guidance, then copy and paste portions that you appreciate, with edits or comments to show that you agree with each particular point. An email with just one statement is enough to make high impact.

This will not happen without you, once again we need all hands on deck. Feel free to share your questions or suggestions, my contact info is below.

From: Greg Wood

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:30 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear U.S. Patent and Trademark Office,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Greg Wood

Vice President, Corporate Communications

VirnetX Holding Corporation (NYSE Amex: VHC)

From: Michael Wuensch

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 3:05 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Support for the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Thank you,

Michael Wuensch

From: Yahoo!

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 10:54 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

From: andrew

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 3:27 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: USPTO\_2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

Dear USPTO,

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Sincerely,

Andrew Yaros, Founder & President

Trio Hygiene Systems, LLC

From: Joy Young

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 2:08 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Save our patents

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Joy O Young

From: Kenneth Yuen

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 2:49 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.



From: Albin Zac

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 6:35 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Comment on the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I am an inventor and holder of three US patents. The 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance is important for me and therefore I support it because the guidance will make the US patent system the envy of the world by providing legal clarity, and consistency on decisions, examinations and post review of patents. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been inconsistent, ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys find it difficult to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory rulings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Technological innovations in important areas such as quantum computing, machine learning, artificial intelligence, secure communication and gene editing are essential to safeguarding the future of United States. I thank you for your effort to developing the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance.

From: Kermit Zarley

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 12:43 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: U.S. patent system

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. This is discouraging to me as an inventor. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for your effort to position the U.S. to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Kermit Zarley

PGA Tour (retired)

From: Delfino Zavala

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 11:57 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: Request

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Delfino R Zavala

From: Bob Zeidman

Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2019 1:16 AM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I write in support of the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

This guidance does not expand on the Supreme Court holdings in Alice. This guidance does not expand on recent lower court rulings that certain inventions are patent eligible under the Alice test. It does not ignore other decisions nor distort the law, but rather acknowledges and solves the conundrum of confusing and apparently contradictory holdings. Adoption of this guidance will provide order, clarity, uniformity, and reduce disputes over section 101 in the courts and the USPTO.

Thank you for yours effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

-Bob Zeidman

Inventor, entrepreneur, author

From: Kevin J. Zilka

Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 1:16 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: 2019 Revised Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance

I support the 2019 Revised Patent Subject Matter Eligibility Guidance. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of actions by the USPTO. Going forward stakeholders will know with more certainty which inventions are eligible for a patent and which are not. This guidance is good for innovation and the U.S. economy.

Kevin J. Zilka

Zilka-Kotab, P.C.

From: Gary Zulauf

Sent: Saturday, March 2, 2019 9:08 PM

To: Eligibility2019

Subject: ADOPT new guidelines on subject matter eligibility, Docket No. PTO-P-2018-0053

I urge the USPTO to adopt the guidance on subject matter eligibility set forth in the Request for Comments, Docket No. PTO-P-2018-0053. This guidance will improve the clarity, consistency, and predictability of examination and post issuance review of patents by the USPTO. Recent rulings by the courts and the USPTO have been ambiguous and contradictory. Even experienced attorneys are not able to advise inventors as to whether their inventions are patentable. In cases where a patent has already been issued, there is no certainty as to whether it will be upheld. The new guidelines will provide a thorough, consistent, and logical application of the current law on subject matter eligibility.

Thank you for your effort to position the United States to retake the lead in the next wave of technological innovation in areas like quantum computing, artificial intelligence, and medical diagnostics. Protection for discoveries in these fields is the absolute best way to promote progress in science and useful arts in our modern day.

Gary Zulauf

Columbus, OH