Dear Sir or Madam:

Most of the legal problems relating to district court findings using the Philips Standard and the USPTO’s BRI Standard wastes substantial appeals and court time.

This is unnecessary and it would seem to be appropriate to use the BRI Standard for initial approval to speed up the IP process, but once this develops into a legal challenge it involves both the PTAB and the district court, eventually confusing the process and a power struggle, further delayed by the CAFC.

To clear up these often opposite findings, it would seem that the federal court’s process of a more narrow patent standard should prevail and the PTAB should apply that to speed up the process by using one standard.

You can’t have 2 processes and 2 bosses using different standards, it just does not make sense!

Very truly,

Stephen A. Battaglia
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