

From: footmds@aol.com
To: [PTABNPR2018](#)
Subject: Comment to Proposed Rule Change: Changes to the Claims Construction Standard for Interpreting Claims in Trial Proceedings Before the PTAB
Date: Saturday, June 16, 2018 9:05:17 AM

Dear Sir/Madam:

As a physician, I strongly support, and urge adoption of, the proposed rule that is the subject of your May 3, 2018 notice, subject as above.

The proposed rule should be adopted as soon as possible for a multitude of reasons, including beginning the restoration of our patent system to global preeminence, and the encouragement of innovation.

I believe that the new rule should be implemented so as to apply to any USPTO post grant proceeding that is at any stage, including those that are the subject of a final order and that are now, or sufficiently recent that they could be, in the appellate process.

I believe USPTO should vacate all PTAB orders that have been issued for all post grant proceedings in which any claims construction standard other than Phillips was used, in which the result was adverse to the patent-holder, and where the order has been appealed (and remains in any stage thereof) or remains subject to appeal. This implementation step, also, is necessary in order to achieve the goals of the AIA, basic fairness, conservation of litigation expense, and for purposes of judicial economy.

Finally, I also urge that the rule change be expanded to be made applicable to all post grant reviews/reexaminations/IPRs (regardless of their statutory basis), so that whenever a claims construction is at issue in any USPTO post grant proceeding, under any statute, only one standard, Phillips, is used.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
John

John V. Guiliana, DPM, MS