

From: Joel.chitiea@artiea.com
To: [PTABNPR2018](#)
Subject: Comment to Proposed Rule Change: Changes to the Claims Construction Standard for Interpreting Claims in Trial Proceedings Before the PTAB
Date: Wednesday, June 6, 2018 6:48:07 PM

Dear Patent Office Officers:

I am an inventor, a co-inventor, and I own shares of companies that own patents. I have watched with displeasure the practices of the Patent Trial Appeal Board. Displeasure because there are so many things wrong with its very existence due to the practices that have no basis in law that it would be very difficult to “tweak the system” to make it fair for patent owners. That said, I strongly support, and urge adoption of, the proposed rule that is the subject of your May 3, 2018 notice, subject as above.

It is absolutely necessary that the proposed rule be adopted as soon as possible for a multitude of reasons, including beginning the restoration of our patent system to global preeminence, encouraging innovation, and fulfillment of the spirit and original purpose underlying the AIA.

Of critical importance, I urge further that the new rule be implemented so as to be applicable to any USPTO post grant proceeding that is at any stage, including those that have been made the subject of a final order and that are now, or sufficiently recent that they could be, in the appellate process. More specifically, USPTO should, sua sponte, vacate all PTAB orders that have been issued for all post grant proceedings in which any claims construction standard other than Phillips was used, in which the result was adverse to the patent-holder, and where the order has been appealed (and remains in any stage thereof) or remains subject to appeal. This implementation step, also, is necessary in order to achieve the goals of the AIA, basic fairness, conservation of litigation expense, and for purposes of judicial economy.

Finally, I also urge that the rule change be expanded to be made applicable to all post grant reviews/reexaminations/IPRs (regardless of their statutory basis), so that whenever a claims construction is at issue in any USPTO post grant proceeding, under any statute, only one standard, Phillips, is used.

Making this change will be a good start but it should be only the beginning to make the system, and our patent system, great again.

Very truly yours,
Joel T Chitiea
Newbury Park, CA

To stop receiving marketing emails from:

- An individual financial advisor at Wells Fargo Advisors Financial Networks: Reply to one of his/her emails and type “Remove” in the subject line.
- Wells Fargo and its affiliates [click here](#)

Neither of these actions will affect delivery of important service messages regarding your accounts that we may need to send you or preferences you may have previously set for other email services.

For additional information regarding our electronic communication policies, visit our [electronic communications policies](#) for additional information
Investment products and services are offered through Wells Fargo Advisors Financial Network, LLC (WFAFN), member FINRA/SIPC, a registered broker dealer and nonbank affiliate of Wells Fargo & Company. 1 North Jefferson, St. Louis, MO 63103. WFAFN uses the trade name Wells Fargo Advisors. Any other referenced entity is a separate entity from WFAFN.

This email may be an advertisement for products and services.