Dear Sir/Madam:

I strongly support, and would urge the adoption of the proposed rule.

I am in full support of replacing the BRI with the Phillips claim construction. Further if a patent has been challenged in an Articles Three Federal court and found to be Not Invalid it should not be subject to IPR’s or Re Exams. The money spent to defend a patent(s) on two fronts is prohibitive for innovators.

The damage done to the patent system in the U.S. by the use of BRI has been a major deterrent to innovation in the USA, the sooner you change the better.

Regards
Dean King