

From: Digital Debit
To: [PTABNPR2018](#)
Subject: Support for Philips standard at PTAB
Date: Wednesday, May 9, 2018 11:29:25 AM

Dear USPTO:

I am a owner of 3 U.S. patents: 8,402,555 - 8,533,860 - 8,777,308

I am currently pending on my 12 and 13th IPR proceeding.

The BRI standard in the examination process offers a thorough scrutiny to determine patentable claims. During examination, I've seen at most, 3 references applied to a 103 rejection to an independent claim using the BRI standard.

In PTAB petitions, BRI is abused by petitioners collecting up to 12 references for a independent claim, filling in pieces to a puzzle to win institution decisions. District court judges in my cases have expressed 5 references as being too many references, unreasonable to believe a person skilled in the art would stitch together such a theory.

The Philips standard will allow patent owners to cite technical dictionary meanings to claim terms in PTAB proceedings that demonstrate how a person skilled would interpret a term, rather than leaving crucial claim meanings in the hands of overly broad interpretations never intended by the Inventors.

I would like to see retro reconsideration on claims patent owners were forced to cancel to avoid institutions that stopped district court cases.

Many Regards,
William Grecia



Digital Debit® Group
Executive Chairman
digitaldebit.com
(212) 256-1666

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this email message and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information and may be legally protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient of this message or their agent, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply email and then delete this message and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, copying, or storage of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited.