

One topic for study would be the % of patent applications that went abandoned but should have been allowed. Were the cited prior art on point with the claims? If yes, then the rejection was proper. The deeper dive would be whether some type of claim amendments could have been made to overcome the rejection? If so, was the examiner just hiding the ball in that the examiner was not explaining his or her reason for the rejection?

James Yang
Patent Attorney
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER
75 Enterprise, Suite 250
Aliso Viejo, CA 92656
Phone: (949)855-1246
Facsimile: (949)855-6371
jyang@stetinalaw.com
www.stetinalaw.com

This communication is for its intended recipient only, and contains information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Further, if you are not the intended recipient you should not open any attached document(s) or further distribute this communication or the attached document(s). If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone (949-855-1246) or e-mail reply, delete it from your system, and destroy any hard copy you may have printed. Thank you.

ATTORNEY-CLIENT AND/OR WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

This communication is protected by the attorney-client and/or the work product privilege and should be treated in a confidential manner. Any disclosure to other than key management personnel on a need-to-know basis may jeopardize the privilege and require disclosure to adverse parties in litigation.