Removal of redundant patent and trademark regulations is necessary as the burden bureaucratic red tape costs discourage the growth and formation of small businesses and startup ventures in the economy by imposing unnecessary time and money costs.

However, the removal of Trademark interference clause from the rule will not relieve any burden. As mentioned in the supplemental information, there is only one case per year petitioning for Trademark interference; and a party can nonetheless petition to the director with or without the rule.

I suggest that there should be real amendments which actually mitigate regulatory burden to incent entrepreneurship and market growth, rather than superficial ones which take out a few sentences off the Code of Federal Regulations.