UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Patent No. 8,641,841

Tatsuoka et al.

Issue Date: February 4, 2014

Application No. 12/651,064

Filed: December 31, 2009

Attorney Docket No.

30542/43539A

Title: CONTINUOUS HEAT

TREATMENT FURNACE AND UTILIZING

THE SAME, METAL TUBE AND HEAT

TREATMENT METHOD

: DIRECTOR'S DECISION ON

: PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

MAR 1 7 2015

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

This is a response to the "Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment" filed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.705(b) on March 17, 2014 requesting that the Office adjust the PTA from 396 days to 513 days.

The redetermination of patent term adjustment is **DENIED** with respect to making any change in the patent term adjustment determination under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b) of 513 days.

THERE WILL BE NO FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF THIS MATTER BY THE OFFICE.

This redetermination of patent term adjustment is the Director's decision on the applicant's request for reconsideration within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(4) that triggers a 180-day period for applicant disagreeing with the Office redetermination to commence a civil action in the District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia.

Relevant Procedural History

On February 4, 2014, this patent issued with a patent term adjustment determination of 396 days. On March 17, 2014, patentee filed this request for redetermination of patent term adjustment, requesting that patentee be granted a patent term adjustment of 513 days.

Decision

Application/Control Number: 12/651,064

Art Unit: OPET

Patentee agrees with the Office's calculation of A delay of 471 days, C delay of 0 day, 0 days of overlap and 75 days of applicant delay. Patentee disputes B delay.

Patentee contends that the USPTO failed to properly account for the delay under 35 U.S.C. §154(b)(1)(B), referred to as the "B delay." Patentee maintains that the B delay is 117 days (not 0 days). With a B delay of 117, Patentee states that the correct PTA is 513 days.

The Office accorded 0 days of B delay based upon the Office's former interpretation of rule 37 CFR 1.703(b)(1) which excluded from the amount of "B" delay the period beginning on the date of filing of the continued examination and ending on the date of the issuance of the patent. The Federal Circuit reviewed the statutory interpretation of 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B)(i) and issued a decision regarding the effects of a Request for Continued Examination ("RCE") on "B" delay in Novartis AG v. Lee, 740 F.3d 593 (Fed. Cir. 2014. In Novartis, the Federal Circuit agreed with the Office that "no ["B" delay] adjustment time is available for any time in continued examination, even if the continued examination was initiated more than three calendar years after the application's filing." Novartis, 740 F.3d at 601. However, the Novartis court found that if the Office issues a notice of allowance after an RCE is filed, the period after the notice of allowance should not be excluded from the "B" delay period but should be counted as "B" delay.

Pursuant to the *Novartis* decision, the USPTO has determined that patentee remains entitled to 0 days of "B" delay. Patentee's calculation of 117 days of "B" delay fails to account for the fact that pursuant to the *Novartis* decision the period of time from the filing of the RCE to the mailing of the notice of allowance continues to be subtracted from "B" delay as time consumed by continued examination. In this instance, the RCE period was 827 days, resulting in the Office continuing to determine that this application was pending 0 days beyond 3 years from the actual filing date.

The redetermination is calculated as follows: the application was filed on December 31, 2009 and the patent issued on February 4, 2014. Thus, the application was pending for 1497 days. During this period, applicant filed one RCE on July 8, 2011. The Office mailed a single Notice of Allowance on October 11, 2013. Under

Art Unit: OPET

35 USC 154(b)(1)(B)(i), the time period consumed by continued examination ("RCE period") began on July 8, 2011 and ended on October 11, 2013 i.e., 827 days. Subtracting the RCE period from the total number of days the application was pending results in 1497 - 827 = 670 days. Thus, for purposes of "B" delay, the application was pending for 670 - 1097 [i.e., 3 years (including a leap year) from the actual filing date] = 0 days beyond the three-year anniversary of the filing date.

The Office finds that there are 0 days of overlapping days of Office delay. In Wyeth v. Kappos, 591 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2010), the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit determined that overlap occurs when the calendar days overlap between the "A" and "B" delays.

Overall PTA Calculation

Formula:

"A" delay + "B" delay + "C" delay - Overlap - applicant delay = X

USPTO's Calculation:

471 + 0 + 0 - 0 - 75 = 396

Patentee's Calculation

471 + 117 + 0 - 0 - 75 = 513

Conclusion

Patentee remains entitled to PTA of three hundred ninety-six (396) days. Using the formula "A" delay + "B" delay + "C" delay - overlap - applicant delay = X, the amount of PTA is calculated as follows: 471 + 0 + 0 - 0 - 75 = 396 days.

Application/Control Number: 12/651,064

Art Unit: OPET

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Attorney Advisor Charlema Grant at (571) 272-3215.

Page 4

/JOHN COTTINGHAM/
Director
Office of Petitions/
Petitions Officer