

**From:** Sinai Yarus [e-mail redacted]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 27, 2015 9:20 AM  
**To:** 2014\_interim\_guidance  
**Subject:** Public comment

Gentlemen.

There are too many cases where the Examiner simply states that certain claim features are "well understood, routine, and conventional." The problem is particularly acute in computer implemented inventions.

It would be extremely helpful if the office clearly defined the Examiner's burden in providing evidence to support such assertions.

My recommendation is to impose a requirement for extrinsic evidence.

Dr.  
S. Yarus  
Patent Agent

NOTICE: This mail contains confidential and/or privileged information and is for the entity named. If you received this e-mail in error, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents is unlawful. Please notify sender via return e-mail and delete the message and attachment(s). Sender accepts no responsibility for viruses associated with this e-mail or attachments. Thank you.