Ideas should not be patented, only recognized, unless they are associated with elaboration with some complexity, that is, they are the result of work as with a formula that increases efficiency, saves money, reduces pollution, but always proves to be the result of an elaboration of an individual or team.

The purpose of patents is to encourage inventiveness, investment, and the development of what is patented into society. A patent should not be used, for example, to prevent something from being developed, applied, used, because the authors want to retain its application.

For example, after the result of years of work, a team discovers anti gravity and patents it. But then for religious reasons they consider it against God's designs to flee gravity, and then decide to prevent the world from using it. That's not what patents are for.

An idea that can occur naturally in society by n people in the world exposed to the same events or association of existing ideas, when patented, privileges only the one who formalized the roles first. Having no associated work, not having a product in useful time, not actively encouraging its application, it is just a block.

To prevent a patent from being challenged by law is dogma, to eliminate reason by law, is to bring to technology and science procedures that only had a predominant place in the Middle Ages.
And an offer: ideas always occur in pairs: you can never have a single idea, if you have thought of something, at least one more person too has it. This idea is free.