

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 11/16/20 10:55 AM
Received: November 12, 2020
Status: Posted
Posted: November 16, 2020
Tracking No. 1k4-9k22-iriy
Comments Due: November 19, 2020
Submission Type: API

Docket: PTO-C-2020-0055

Request for Comments on Discretion to Institute Trials Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board

Comment On: PTO-C-2020-0055-0001

Discretion to Institute Trials Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board

Document: PTO-C-2020-0055-0168

Comment from Ronald Kritzler.

Submitter Information

Name: Ronald Kritzler

Address:

3085 ne 183 ln

Aventura, FL, 33160

Email: Rkritzler@balanzza.com

Submitter's Representative: Ronald kritzler

Organization: Ronald kritzler

General Comment

Chief Judge.

As owner of multiple utility and design patents i believe this is very important to protect the innovation initiatives from individual inventors.

III: PROCEEDINGS IN OTHER TRIBUNALS

- a) The PTAB should not institute duplicative proceedings.
- b) A petition should be denied when the challenged patent is concurrently asserted in a district court against the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner and the court has neither stayed the case nor issued any order that is contingent on institution of review.
- c) A petition should be denied when the challenged patent is concurrently asserted in a district court against the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner with a trial is scheduled to occur within 18 months of the filing date of the petition.
- d) A petition should be denied when the challenged patent has been held not invalid in a final determination of the ITC involving the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner.

IV: PRIVY

- a) An entity who benefits from invalidation of a patent and pays money to a petitioner challenging that patent should be considered a privy subject to the estoppel provisions of the AIA.
- b) Privy should be interpreted to include a party to an agreement with the petitioner or real party of interest related to the validity or infringement of the patent where at least one of the parties to the agreement would benefit from a finding of unpatentability.

V: ECONOMIC IMPACT

Regulations should account for the proportionally greater harm to independent inventors and small businesses posed by institution of an AIA trial, to the extent it harms the economy and integrity of the patent system, including their financial resources and access to effective legal representation.

IPRs are a clear abuse of process. Inventors are clearly being beat up. They should be entitled to a fair trial by jury.