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Reasons for the Changes

• This rulemaking is the PTO’s response to the National Performance Review’s mandate to reinvent government and reduce regulatory burden on the public.

• The changes in this rulemaking:
  – 1) Streamline the processing and examination of applications;
  – 2) Reduce procedural burdens on applicants; and
  – 3) Simplify and condense the requirements of the rules of practice.
Final Rule: Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure

- Effective Date: December 1, 1997
- Published in the Federal Register
  62 Fed. Reg. 53131 (October 10, 1997)
- Published in the Official Gazette
- Errata:
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Topic 1: Application Filing

3 Major Rules have been revised

- 37 C.F.R. § 1.53 Application Number, filing date and completion of application
  - Including Continued Prosecution Application
- 37 C.F.R. § 1.63 Oath or declaration
- 37 C.F.R. § 1.41 Applicant for patent

2 Rules deleted: 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.60; 1.62
Entire rule substantially revised

- **All** applications will be filed under § 1.53
- Filing under § 1.60 and § 1.62 (FWC) abolished
- Applications similar to § 1.60 filed under §1.53(b)
  - with a copy of the prior oath/dec under § 1.63
  - with the same or fewer inventors - §1.53(b)(1)
- Applications similar to FWCs (§ 1.62) filed as CPAs under § 1.53(d)
Application Filing - 37 C.F.R. § 1.53

Paragraph Overview

• § 1.53(c) Provisional Applications
• § 1.53(d) Continued Prosecution Applications - CPAs
  – Former FWCs (Rule 62)
  – Not for CIPs
• § 1.53(b) Everything else*
  – new applications, with new oath/dec
  – continuation/divisional applications, with copy of oath/dec
  – CIPs, with new oath/dec
* except PCT applications
Oath and Declaration Requirements

- Only § 1.53(b) filings require an oath/dec
- Oath/dec may be an orig. or copy (§ 1.63(d))
  - copy from a prior application, or
  - newly executed for this application
- Oath/dec need not be submitted on filing (§1.53(f))
- Newly executed oath/dec needed for CIP application or a non-continuing application
Specification Requirements for Non-Continuing (new) Applications

• No change
• Any specification presentation permitted
Application Filing - 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b)
Spec’s

Specification Requirements for Cont/Div cases using a copy of the Oath/dec (§ 1.63(d))

- Commandment: May not contain new matter
- “True Copy” requirement of Rule 60 dropped
- May be edited, rearranged, reformatted - whatever
- Examiners’ responsibilities concerning the status as a continuing case stay the same

Specification should include an incorporation-by-reference of prior application (to avoid inadvertent loss of subject matter)
Application Filing - 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b)

Wreck’s

• Cases designated as Rule 60- Treat as § 1.53(b)

• § 1.53(b) is the default home for filing; unless specifically designated as a CPA, a § 371 or a provisional application

• Problem cases will end up under this paragraph
Continued Prosecution Applications

• Replace Rule 62 FWC

• Only Basic Requirement
  – Cannot include new matter

Note: Immediate prior application may be filed before, on or after 6/8/95
  – See ___ F.R.____; ___ O.G.____
How to Recognize a CPA

• Application File Wrapper:
  (a) Typical Contents Entry -
      __# 11__   Request for CPA   12/15/98
  (b) Face of File: “CPA” label

• PALM: “Contents” column of 2952 screen - look for
  “ACPA” (continuation) or “DCPA” (divisional)
• Form paragraph will be in the first action of CPA
• If Notice of Abandonment is received- CPA was not
  established!
• Patent will include statement re: CPA & 20 yr. patent term
CPA Application Attributes

• Uses the file jacket and contents of the prior application
• Uses same application number as prior application
• Prior application is expressly abandoned as of filing date of CPA request
CPA Filing

- May be filed by fax (§ 1.6(d)(3)) or hand delivered to group
- Certificate of transmission/mailing under § 1.8 does not apply to filing of CPA
- Filing date is date of receipt of complete facsimile transmission
CPA Processing in PTO:

- Processing will be performed in Group where prior application assigned
- No new filing receipt issued
- No Office action or interview until filing fees paid.
Four CPA Requirements for a Filing Date

1) Must file request for CPA on a separate paper
   - request for CPA cannot be submitted within papers filed for another purpose
   - "conditional" request for a CPA submitted (as a separate paper) with an amendment after final treated as an unconditional request

2) Must identify application number of immediately prior application
CPA Requirements for a Filing Date (cont)

3) Prior application must be either:
   – a complete application
     • including a prior CPA  or
   – the national stage of an international application in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371

Note: prior application may have been filed before, on or after 6/8/95

4) Must be filed before earlier of:
   – payment of issue fee on the prior application, unless a petition under § 1.313(b)(5) granted;
   – abandonment of prior application
If CPA does not meet filing date requirements

• Group will notify applicant, and
• Corrective options will be explained
  – Petition to revive
  – Petition to convert to § 1.53(b)

Handled by Office of Petitions
CPA Filing Fee

• Sum of:
  – basic filing fee and
  – any additional claim fees due

• CPA may be filed without filing fee
  – A "Notice To File Missing Parts" will be prepared & mailed by group requiring filing fee and surcharge
Amendments in CPAs

• No new matter may be introduced
• All changes must be made in the form of an amendment to the prior application (§ 1.121)
  – New specification filed with CPA will not be considered part of original application papers - treated as substitute specification
• Prior non-entered § 1.116 amendments will be entered only if requested
• Even if IDS not considered in prior case, must be considered by examiner in CPA- No resubmission or request required.
CPA: 35 USC 120 Benefits

- The first sentence of the specification need not, and may not, refer to the prior application.

- The effective filing date is (at least) the filing date of the parent.
CPA Shifting Claimed Invention

- CPA may be filed with amendment adding claims to patentably distinct invention
- Examiner may require restriction/election of species
- If CPA filed as continuation, an election made in the parent application carries over to the CPA - unless the CPA indicates otherwise
Papers in the prior application that do CARRY OVER to, and will be considered in, a CPA:

- Affidavits/declarations under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.130-1.132
- Information Disclosure Statements
- Terminal Disclaimers
- Petitions under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48
- Priority claims (foreign and domestic)
- Deposit Account charge authorizations
- Elections
  - unless the CPA is a divisional or indicates otherwise
- Ownership submissions under 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b)
Papers in the prior application that do NOT carry-over to a CPA

- Small Entity Status
  - small entity status must be specifically established in a continuing application, but may be established by payment of the small entity basic filing fee

- Elections
  - where the CPA is a divisional or otherwise indicates that the election in the prior application does not carry over
Per § 1.63, the oath/dec is now required to include:

- Full name of each inventor
- Post office address

Requirement for the post office address has been moved from 37 C.F.R. § 1.33(a), which did not state where in the application the post office address had to be provided.
A newly executed oath or declaration IS NOT required in a continuation or divisional application.

- A copy of the executed oath or declaration from the prior application may be submitted
- unless a person is named as an inventor who was not named as an inventor in the prior application.
Continuations and Divisionals

- 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d) retains benefits of 37 C.F.R. § 1.60 without its drawbacks
- A copy of the oath/dec from the previous application may be used in a continuation or divisional application
When a new oath/dec IS required:

- New applications
- CIPs
- Continuations and divisionals naming a new inventor
37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d)(3)
(Copy of declaration from prior application)

If a petition under § 1.47 was granted in the prior application, a copy of the decision according status under § 1.47 is required…

**unless** the non-signing inventor(s) subsequently joined in the prior application, in which case only the declaration executed by all of the inventors in the prior application is required.
The executed oath/dec names the inventorship:

- Inventor’s name is no longer needed for a filing date
- Executed oath/dec sets the names of the inventors
- If no name of an actual inventor is provided with the application papers, an identifier consisting of alphanumeric characters is requested (e.g., attorney docket number)
Changes of Inventorship in CPA

• To Delete Inventors: Append a statement to the CPA request deleting the non-inventor(s).

• To Add Inventors: Submit a petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48. If a CPA names additional inventors, they are not added except and until a § 1.48 petition is filed and granted.
Inventorship in a nonprovisional application is set forth in the executed oath/dec filed under § 1.63 during the pendency of the application:

– IF a signed § 1.63 oath/declaration was not filed during pendency, inventorship will be established from the application papers filed under § 1.53(b)
Inventorship in provisional application identified in:

- Cover sheet; or in the
- Application papers under § 1.53(c), IF the cover sheet was not filed during pendency of the provisional application; or in a
- Petition under § 1.41(a)(2) for change of names or inventors.
Application Filing Changes:

- 37 C.F.R. § 1.60 and 1.62 eliminated
- 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d) provides for the use of a copy of the executed oath/declaration from a prior application in a continuation or divisional (filed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b))
  - Replaces practice under 37 C.F.R. § 1.60
- 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(d) provides for Continued Prosecution Applications (CPAs)
  - Replaces practice under 37 C.F.R. § 1.62
Application Filing
Continuation/Divisional using a copy of the oath/declaration of the prior application

• Former Practice
(37 C.F.R. § 1.60)
  – new application number assigned
  – true copy of prior application
  – true copy statement
  – continuing data in first sentence of the specification

• New Practice
(37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b))
  – new application number assigned
  – copy of prior application or new specification and drawings
  – continuing data in first sentence of the specification
Filing Requirements

Former 37 C.F.R. § 1.60
- reference to filing under
  37 C.F.R. § 1.60 (Rule 60)
- true copy of prior application
  (specification, claims, drawings, signed oath/declaration)
- true copy statement
- same or fewer than all inventors named in prior application
- filed prior to patenting, abandonment, or termination of proceeding on prior application

New 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b)
- no reference to any rule
- copy of prior application or new specification, claims, drawings with signed oath/declaration of prior application
- same or fewer than all inventors named in prior application
- filed prior to patenting, abandonment, or termination of proceeding on prior application
Comparison of new and former sections

Disadvantages of former 37 C.F.R. § 1.60
- If true copy of prior application or true copy statement omitted, NO filing date - loss of patent term while filing date petition is being decided
- must file any “corrected” specification as a substitute specification (more paper in the application file)

Advantages of new 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b)
- Permits the filing of a continuation/divisional using a copy of the oath declaration of the prior application, without the true copy requirement
- no need to reference any specific rule
- one section (37 C.F.R. § 1.53) for filing all applications
Application Filing
Continued Prosecution Application (CPA)

Former Practice (37 C.F.R. § 1.62)
• may be used to file continuation, divisional, or CIP
• new application number assigned
• request for an FWC must be filed
• file jacket and content of prior application used in new file jacket
• newly executed oath/declaration required for CIP
• continuing data in first sentence of specification

New Practice (37 C.F.R. § 1.53(d))
• may be used to file continuation or divisional, but not a CIP
• the immediate prior application may have been filed before, on or after June 8, 1995
• no new application number assigned
• request for a CPA must be filed
• file jacket and content of prior application used for CPA
• no continuing data in first sentence of specification
Filing Requirements

Former 37 C.F.R. § 1.62

- Request for FWC
  - must be signed
  - must identify prior application

- Must be filed prior to payment of issue fee, abandonment, or termination of proceedings on prior application

- prior application must be complete

New 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(d)

- Request for CPA
  - must be signed
  - must identify prior application

- Must be filed prior to payment of issue fee, abandonment, or termination of proceedings on prior application

- prior application must be complete and may have been filed before, on or after June 8, 1995
Comparison of new and former sections

Disadvantages of **former**

37 C.F.R. § 1.62

Loss of potential patent term due to following delays:

– If the FWC request is filed with a new (or copy of prior) specification, NO filing date
– Identification of wrong application, NO filing date
– Processed by OIPE as a new application

Advantages of **new**

37 C.F.R. § 1.53(d)

– If the CPA request is filed with a new (or copy of prior) specification, treated as substitute specification, NOT a filing date issue
– Identification of wrong application: submitter contacted to determine corrected application number, NOT a filing date issue
– Processed by Group as a submission in the prior application (no pre-examination delays)
Topic 2: Deceptive Intent

- 37 C.F.R.§ 1.4 Verification of correspondence
- 37 C.F.R.§ 1.48 & 1.324 Correction of inventorship
- 37 C.F.R.§ 1.171 & 1.175 Reissues.
- 37 C.F.R.§ 3.73(b) Establishing right of assignee to prosecute.
- 37 C.F.R.§ 1.28 Small Entity Status
37 C.F.R. § 1.4 and 10.18:

• Puts inventors on the same plane as attorneys and agents

• An explicit verification is not required for certain submissions, e.g. small entity statements (§ 1.27), correction of inventorship (§ 1.48), substituted specifications (§ 1.125).

• The verification requirement is retained in certain sections (e.g., 37 C.F.R. § 1.63 oaths, §§ 1.130, 1.131, and 1.132 affidavits).
Correction of inventorship in applications & patents

- Eliminated factual showing requirements
- Eliminated diligence requirements
- Narrowed and changed parties who must submit statements

37 C.F.R. §§ 1.48 and 1.324: statements re: deceptive intent required only from inventors to be added to or deleted from application

- §1.324 additionally requires statement of acquiescence from other original named inventors
- §1.63 oath/dec no longer needed under § 1.324
Deceptive Intent - Reissue

Requirement for title report in 37 C.F.R. § 1.171 is eliminated

Reissue oath/dec (37 C.F.R. § 1.175) simplified:

- only one error being corrected need be identified
- ...instead of all errors
- only a general statement as to lack of deceptive intent is required
- … instead of a detailed showing of facts as to how the errors arose or occurred
Deceptive Intent - 37 C.F.R. § 1.175(b) &(c) (Reissue Oath or Declaration- cont)

• Supplemental Oath or Declaration:
  
  • Only required at allowance
  • Should cover all errors corrected after initial declaration
  • Need not identify any errors unless the originally identified error no longer remains as basis for reissue
Deceptive Intent - 37 C.F.R. § 1.175 (Reissue Oath or Declaration -Transition)

Between publication and when new rules become effective:

* If there is a petition under § 1.183 to waive 37 C.F.R. § 1.175, send to Petitions Office
* Evaluate oath/declaration under current standards: if acceptable, then allow; if not, then depending upon timing, send out suspension letter or just wait for effective date.

After new rules become effective:

* All pending reissue applications (even if filed under old rules) are only subject to requirements of new rules
The assignee's ownership interest is established by filing a statement under 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b):

37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b) no longer requires that an assignee

– specifically state that the evidentiary documents have been reviewed, and

– certify that title is in the assignee seeking to take action
Small Entity Status

The payment of the small entity basic statutory filing fee will be treated as the required reference to a small entity statement in a prior application or in a patent in:

- continuing applications, and
- reissue applications
Topic 3: Appeal Process

- 37 C.F.R. § 1.191 Notice of Appeal
- 37 C.F.R. § 1.193 Examiner's Answer & Reply Brief
- 37 C.F.R. § 1.196 Decision by the Board
No Longer Require a Notice of Appeal to
– be signed
– identify the appealed claims
While § 1.193 precludes New Grounds of rejection in an Examiner’s Answer,

IF

1. an amendment under §1.116 is submitted, and
2. advisory action indicates the § 1.116 amendment would be entered for appeal purposes, and which individual rejections in final would be used to reject the new or amended claims,

THEN

3. Appeal brief must address the indicated rejections, and
4. Examiner's Answer may include such rejections

Prosecution reopened for new ground(s) of rejection.
Where prosecution is reopened, under § 1.193(b)(2), appellant must:

- file an appropriate reply to the Office action; or
- request reinstatement of the appeal
  - request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief
- reinstatement option will avoid need for a final Office action when applicant chooses to go back to the Board of Appeals
Appeal Process - 37 C.F.R. § 1.193

- Timely reply brief always entered--§ 1.193(b)(1)
  - New amendments, affidavits, declarations, or exhibits are not entered except as permitted by §§ 1.116 and 1.195.
  - Examiner must:
    - acknowledge and enter the reply brief; or
    - reopen prosecution to respond to the reply brief
- Supplemental examiner’s answer not permitted
Notice of Appeal & Appeal Brief

Is a new ground of rejection necessary?

Examiner’s Answer

Reply Brief (Subject to §§ 1.116(c) and 1.195)

Is a Response to the Reply Brief Necessary?

No

Enter & Acknowledge Reply Brief; Forward Application to Board

Yes

Reopen Prosecution

Reinstatement of Appeal (Must file supplemental brief; no new amendments, affidavits or other evidence)

Appellant’s Option 1

Appellant’s Option 2

Normal Prosecution (Reply under § 1.111 or § 1.113)
Decision by Bd. Pat. App. & Int.

Where the Board would have recommended a rejection of allowed claims under 37 C.F.R. § 1.196(d)...

the Board must now expressly reject the claims(s) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.196(b).
Topic 4:
Time to Reply

• 37 C.F.R. § 1.135 Abandonment for failure to reply within time period.
• 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 Extensions of time.
• 37 C.F.R. § 1.137 Revival of abandoned application or lapsed patent.
Treatment of bona fide but incomplete replies to non-final Office action.

May be accepted and treated by:

1) Acting on the merits of the reply; or

2) Requiring the omission to be supplied prior to acting on the merits of the reply.

- new extendible time period (not time limit) may be given
- remaining time period if sufficient
Comparison of Old to New

Old

Non Final Off. Action

New

Time to Reply - 37 C.F.R. § 1.135(c)

*Abandonment Date

Not-Fully-Resp Reply Received.

Off. Letter

Non-response

[Ap. Files Continuation]

Not-Fully-Resp Reply Received.

Off. Action

*Abandonment Date

Time Period

Time Limit

Time Period

Time Period
Exception:

• Does **not** apply after a **final** Office action, not even if the omission is:
  – 1) a lack of a signature; or
  – 2) a lack of additional claims fee

• An applicant must supply any omission in a reply to a **final** Office action within the period for reply to the **final** Office action.
Time to Reply - 37 C.F.R. § 1.136

- The cap is now five months
- Separate and specific petition is no longer needed.
  - A petition will be construed whenever possible
- Five Months is possible for
  1) one month restrictions
  2) one month periods under § 1.135(c)
  3) appeal briefs (up to 7- not subject to stat. period)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Former</th>
<th>New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.17(a)</td>
<td>1.17(a)(1) - reply within 1st month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.17(b)</td>
<td>1.17(a)(2) - reply within 2nd month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.17(c)</td>
<td>1.17(a)(3) - reply within 3rd month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.17(d)</td>
<td>1.17(a)(4) - reply within 4th month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>1.17(a)(5) - reply within 5th month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.17(e)</td>
<td>1.17(b) - notice of appeal fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.17(f)</td>
<td>1.17(c) - appeal brief fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.17(g)</td>
<td>1.17(d) - oral hearing fee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Abandonment and Revival:

• Removal of one-year cap for filing a petition based on unintentional delay. (37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b))
  – Emphasizes that the entire delay must have been unintentional to preclude intentional delay or abuse of the revival procedure (e.g., submarine patents).
  – Long abandoned applications will receive greater scrutiny

• Terminal disclaimer required for all applications not subject to 20 year term
Topic 5: Miscellaneous

- 37 C.F.R. § 1.14(a)(2) Access to file
- 37 C.F.R. § 1.59 Petitions to expunge
- 37 C.F.R. § 1.121 Manner of making amendments
- 37 C.F.R. § 1.125 Substitute specification
- 37 C.F.R. § 1.152 Design patent applications
- 37 C.F.R. § 1.291 Protests by the public against pending applications
Access

If a pending application has been incorporated by reference in a U.S. Patent, then a copy of the original disclosure can be supplied without a petition for access, as was the former requirement.

- written request required, with payment of required fee (§ 1.19(b)(2))
- applicant not notified
Expungement

- Will continue practice of not expunging information forming part of the original disclosure from the application file

- Now provided for by 37 C.F.R. § 1.59 rather than under the general 37 C.F.R. § 1.182
Petition to Expunge (cont)

• Group determines whether the information is “material to patentability” or “important to a reasonable examiner in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent.”
Manner of Making Amendments

• Applications other than reissues 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(a)
  – (a) (1) - spec
  – (a) (2) - claims
  – (a) (3) - drawings

• Reissue Applications 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)
  – (b) (1) - spec
  – (b) (2) - claims
  – (b) (3) - drawings

• Reexaminations 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(c)
Miscellaneous - 37 C.F.R. § 1.121

- No change in substance for applications other than reissues
- Reissue practice made to conform to reexamination
- Disclosure must be amended when required to ensure *substantial* correspondence between the specification (including the claims) and the drawings.
Reissues:

**Specification** is amended only by rewriting *entire* paragraphs showing underlining and bracketing - but entire paragraphs may be deleted by an instruction.

**Claims** are amended only by
- rewriting the *entire* claim showing underlining and bracketing or
- request to delete the claim

**Hand entry** of less than five words no longer allowed
Reissues:

37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(2)(ii) & (iii):
Each amendment submission must include
- status of all claims
- support in disclosure for amendatory material, i.e. additions and deletions

37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(3): Amend drawings with new sheets labeling new figures as -new- or -amended-
Transition-Reissue Amendments:

Old rules control for amendments filed prior to effective date of Final Rule.
This section codifies that a substitute specification is permitted as follows:

1) it is submitted in clean form in the desired language without changes being indicated;
2) it is accompanied by a statement that it includes no new matter;
3) it is accompanied by a “marked up” copy of the specification, for reference purposes only, which indicates all changes from the last amended version.
Design Patent Applications

- the prohibition against color drawings and photographs is replaced by a petition procedure (§ 1.152(a)(2))
- all details of informal drawings must be present in the final drawings unless originally disclaimed
Protests by the public against pending applications.

• More than 1 prior art submission (protest) by a single party is now permitted

• Timeliness of submission is still a factor
Summaries

Topic 1. Application Filing
Topic 2. Deceptive Intent
Topic 3. Appeal Process
Topic 4. Time-to-Reply
Topic 5. Miscellaneous
Filing of Applications (summary)

• All applications under 35 U.S.C. § 111 filed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53
  – 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.60 and 1.62 eliminated
• Continuations/divisionals may be filed using copy of an oath/dec. from prior application
• P.O. Address must be in oath/dec.
• Names of the inventors not needed for a filing date
  – The inventorship is named in the executed oath/dec.
Filing of Applications (summary)

- Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(d)
  - Immediate prior application may be filed before, on or after June 8, 1995*
  - No C-I-P permitted
  - Same application number/file jacket as prior application
  - No “first sentence” reference to prior application
    - Front of file jacket will not show CPA continuing data
  - Will be processed entirely by Group
  - May be filed by facsimile

*Note: See ____F.R.____; ____ O.G._____
Deceptive Intent (summary)

- Separate verification requirement eliminated in a number of sections
- Requirements for a reissue oath/dec. simplified
- Requirements for a petition to correct inventorship simplified
- The payment of the small entity filing fee in a continuing or reissue application substitutes for a reference to the small entity statement in the prior application or patent
Appeals (summary)

• No new ground of rejection in an examiner’s answer but permitted to apply individual rejections of final to claims of §1.116 amendment

• Any new ground of rejection on appeal or response to a reply brief must be via reopening of prosecution
  – The applicant may request reinstatement of the appeal in reply to reopening of prosecution

• Reply briefs must be admitted if timely

• Supplemental Examiner’s Answer not permitted
Time Frames (summary)

- Applicants may be given an extendible time period to supply an omission to a *bona fide* but incomplete reply to a non-final action.
- Extensions for up to five (5) months now permitted under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).
- Fee authorization or fee itself is a constructive petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).
- One year filing period requirement for revival based upon unintentional delay (37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)) eliminated.
- Multiple prior art protests permitted if timely.
Miscellaneous (summary)

• Reissue amendments (37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)) made the same as reexamination amendments

• Substitute specification permitted if accompanied by a “no new matter” statement

• Color drawings and photographs in design drawings permitted upon petition
  – 37 C.F.R. § 1.152 clarifies that all non-disclaimed matter in original drawings is an integral part of the disclosure/claim and cannot be removed

• Petitions to expunge explicitly provided for in 37 C.F.R. § 1.59
## Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Legal Advisor(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>§§ 1.4, 1.33</td>
<td>Robert Bahr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§ 1.6, 1.41, 1.53</td>
<td>John Gonzales/ Fred Silverberg/ Robert Bahr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§ 1.48, 1.324</td>
<td>Hiram Bernstein/ Jay Lucas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§ 1.14, 1.59, 1.63</td>
<td>Karin Tyson/ Jay Lucas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§ 1.104, 1.152</td>
<td>Romulo Delmendo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§ 1.84, 1.91, 1.121, 1.125</td>
<td>Kenneth Schor/ Larry Anderson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§ 1.135, 1.136, 1.137</td>
<td>Robert Bahr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§ 1.11, 1.171, 1.172, 1.175</td>
<td>Kenneth Schor/ Joe Narcavage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§ 1.191, 1.193, 1.196</td>
<td>Romulo Delmendo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§ 1.291</td>
<td>John Gonzales/ Fred Silverberg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§ 3.73(b)</td>
<td>Kenneth Schor/ Hiram Bernstein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>§§ 1.510, 1.530, 1.550</td>
<td>Gerald Dost/ Kenneth Schor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Final Rule: Changes to the Patent Practice and Procedure

Effective Date:
December 1, 1997

Thank you.