

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

MIKE H. HOFTMAN
PATRICIA C. ANDERSEN
Junior Party,¹

v.

HENRY B. DAVID
Senior Party,²

Patent Interference No. 103,755

Before METZ, PATE and MARTIN, Administrative Patent Judges.
PATE, Administrative Patent Judge.

Now comes the senior party with a concession of priority, which is to be treated as a request for adverse judgment under 37 CFR § 1.662(a) (1997). Accordingly, the following judgment is entered.

¹ Application 08/316,564, filed September 30, 1994. Assignor to American Medical Manufacturing, Inc.

² Patent 5,188,323, granted February 23, 1993, based on Application 07/833,380 filed February 10, 1992. Assignor to Melco Wire Products, Co.

Interference No. 103,755

Judgment

Judgment in the above-noted interference is entered in favor of Mike M. Hoftman and Patricia C. Andersen, the junior party. Mike M. Hoftman and Patricia C. Andersen are entitled to a patent containing claims 4, 5, 8 through 10, 13, 15, 17 through 19, and 23 through 28, which claims correspond to the count in interference. Judgment is entered against Henry B. David, the senior party. Henry B. David is not entitled to his patent claims 1 through 6, which claims correspond to the count in interference.

)	
ANDREW H. METZ)	
Administrative Patent Judge)	
)	
)	
)	
WILLIAM F. PATE, III.)	BOARD OF PATENT
Administrative Patent Judge)	APPEALS AND
)	INTERFERENCES
)	
)	
JOHN C. MARTIN)	
Administrative Patent Judge)	
)	

Interference No. 103,755

Counsel for Hoftman et al.:

David T. Bracken
The Law Office of David T. Bracken
4839 Bond Avenue
Orange, California 92869

Counsel for David:

Michael Painter & Steve Ackerman
8484 Wilshire Boulevard
Suite 850
Beverly Hills, California 90211