First let me state, I am not a lawyer. Proudly, I am an inventor. Some of my inventions are in the financial services industry and the AIA's Section 18 affects me and my business. The definition of "technological invention" is very meaningful to me and impacts my future.

It is almost a misnomer to try to define "technological invention", since it should go without saying that if a patent issued, the subject matter was of a technical nature and if a product is produced as part of this process/method/patent, then it is the byproduct of the invention.

I also think that there is already a way to define this term. I think the deciding people should really study the Bilski ruling and the resulting law and its current interpretation, before deciding on how to define this phrase. I also think that any definition of "technological invention" needs to make use of machines, rather than merely thought processes.

I can be reached at this email address or 586-850-8143.

Sincerely,

Michael Schulze