To whom it may concern,

I think we should implement a simple patent peer review system to ensure patent quality. I describe the system below.

In order to make sure patents are non obvious, every person who files a patent should state the problem the invention solves. This person then receives a different problem statement from another individual in the same field who recently filed a patent.

This person then must then list ten possible solutions to the problem stated. If your problem can be solved by someone else in the same (or a better) way, then the patent should not be granted.

This will help leverage the competitive patent market to reduce the number of ridiculous patents granted. I wrote this in the foolish hope it wouldn't get lost in a sea of opinionated responses.

Cheers,
Bryan