The tables in this appendix provide further information on selected eligibility cases from the U.S. Supreme Court and the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Examiners may find this information useful in identifying those applications that may require a detailed eligibility analysis during examination. Future decisions will be added to this chart as they become available. It should be noted that the courts' analyses in these decisions do not necessarily employ the *Alice Corp.-Mayo* eligibility framework, which is explained in the *2014 Interim Guidance on Subject Matter Eligibility* (2014 IEG), because most of the cases were decided prior to *Alice Corp*.

For each case (arranged by court and in reverse chronological order), the tables provide the following information:

- (1) a legal citation and, if available, a link to the entire court opinion (if no link is available, a parallel citation to the U.S.P.Q reporter is also provided),
- (2) the U.S. patent number(s) or application number(s) at issue,
- (3) the subject matter of the patent/application,
- (4) whether the claims were eligible or ineligible (note that a finding applies to all claims in the patent/application unless otherwise noted),
- (5) the USPC/CPC classification, and

1

(6) a notation of where the case is discussed (if applicable) in the 2014 IEG and/or the eligibility examples. An index to the eligibility examples is provided in Appendix 2.

It is important to remember that each case turns on its own facts. Therefore, the mere fact that a pending application may be similarly classified to a patent or an application in this chart, or have similar subject matter, does not necessarily indicate an eligibility issue. Identification of a judicial exception in a claim merely indicates further analysis for eligibility should be conducted.

NOTE: This appendix is an updated version of the case summary chart that was used in conjunction with the Abstract Idea Workshop Training. Legal citations and more decisions have been added since the training.

Supreme Court Decisions

Cara Nama	D-++(-)	T:41 C 1	T 4: -: -1	Cl: C +:	XA71
Case Name & Citation	Patent(s) or App. No(s).	Title or General Subject Matter	Judicial Conclusion	Classification (USPC & CPC)	Where Discussed
Alice Corp. Ptv. Ltd. v.	5,970,479	Formulation and	Ineligible	705/37	2014 IEG in
CLS Bank Int'l, 573 U.S, 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).	6,912,510 7,149,720 7,725,375	trading of risk management contracts - Methods, systems, computer readable media	'479: asserted claims 33-34. '510, '720, and '375: all claims.	G06Q10/06	Section III
Association for Molecular Pathology v. Myriad Genetics, Inc., 569 U.S, 133 S. Ct. 2107 (2013).	5,747,282 5,837,492 5,693,473	Breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility gene - Products	Ineligible '282: claims 1, 5-6 '473: claim 1 '492: claims 1 & 6	435/69.1 C07K14/4703	2014 IEG in Section III
			Eligible '282: claims 2 & 7 '492: claim 7		
			(other claims from these patents were addressed in Myriad CAFC and Ambry Genetics)		
<u>Mayo Collaborative</u>	6,355,623	Optimizing drug	Ineligible	514/45	2014 IEG in
Svcs. v. Prometheus Labs., 566 U.S, 132 S. Ct. 1289 (2012).	6,680,302	therapeutic efficacy for treatment of immune-mediated gastrointestinal disorders		G01N33/94	Section III
		- Methods			
Bilski v. Kappos, 561 U.S. 593 (2010).	08/833,892	Energy Risk Management Method - Methods	Ineligible	705/412	2014 IEG in Section IV
Diamond v. Diehr, 450	4,344,142	Direct Digital Control	Eligible	700/198	2014 IEG in
U.S. 175, 209 U.S.P.Q. 1 (1981)		of Rubber Molding Presses	_	B29C35/0288	Section III, Example 25
		- Methods			
Diamond v. Chakrabarty, 447 U.S. 303, 206 U.S.P.Q. 193 (1980).	4,259,444	Microorganism having plasmids and preparation thereof - Product and methods	Eligible	435/479 C12N15/00	2014 IEG in Section III
Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 19 U.S.P.Q. 193 (1978).	05/194,032	Method for updating alarm limits - Methods	Ineligible	N/A	2014 IEG in Section III, Example 24

Case Name	Patent(s) or	Title or General	Judicial	Classification	Where
& Citation	App. No(s).	Subject Matter	Conclusion	(USPC & CPC)	Discussed
Gottschalk v. Benson,	04/315,050	Conversion of	Ineligible	N/A	2014 IEG in
409 U.S. 63, 175		numerical			Section IV
U.S.P.Q. 673 (1972).		information			
		- Methods			
Mackay Radio, 306 U.S.	1,974,387	Antenna	Eligible	343/809	2014 IEG in
86, 40 USPQ 199			claims 15 and 16		Section IV
(1939).		- Products	ciamio 15 ana 16	H01Q11/06	

Federal Circuit Decisions

Case Name & Citation	Patent(s) or App. No(s).	Title or General Subject Matter	Judicial Conclusion	Classification (USPC & CPC)	Where Discussed
Morales v. Square, Inc., No. 2015-1319, _ Fed.	5,872,589	Interactive TV system for mass	Ineligible	725/24 H04H 20/38	Discusseu
Appx (Fed. Cir. Oct. 16, 2015).*		media distribution	Claim 6	110 111 20/30	
		- Method			
Joao Bock Transaction Systems, LLC v. Jack Henry & Associates, No. 2015-1245, Fed. Appx (Fed. Cir. Oct. 13, 2015).*	7,096,003	Apparatus for securing banking transactions or wireless or cellular communication devices	Ineligible Claims 30, 31, 34, 102, 106, 122, 317, 324, 343, 414, 416, & 422	455/406 G06Q 20/04	
		- Systems			
Hemopet v. Hill's Pet Nutrition, Inc., No. 2015-1218, Fed.	8,234,099 8,224,587 8,060,354	Determining a nutritional diet product for a canine	Ineligible '099: claim 1	703/11 G06F 19/3475	
Appx (Fed. Cir. Sep. 21, 2015).*	7,865,343	or feline animal	'587: claims 1 & 8	702/19 G06F 19/28	
		- Methods, systems, and computer	'354: claims 1, 2, 9, & 10	703/11	
		readable media	'343: claims 1 & 2	G06F 19/28	
CMG Financial Services, Inc. v. Pacific Trust Bank, F.S.B., No. 2014- 1855, Fed. Appx (Fed. Cir. Sep. 15,	7,627,509	Integrated bank accounts for home ownership (mortgage) payoffs	Ineligible	705/35 G06Q 40/00	
2015).*		- Methods & systems			
Retirement Capital Access Management Co., LLC v. U.S. Bancorp, No. 2015- 1039, Fed. Appx (Fed. Cir. Aug. 7, 2015).*	6,625,582	Converting a portion of future retirement payments to current benefits - Methods & system	Ineligible Claims 1, 13, 14, 18, 30 & 31	705/35 G06Q 20/10	
In re Karpf. No. 2014- 1773, Fed. Appx (Fed. Cir. Aug. 7, 2015).*	11/074,053	Increasing patient compliance with medical care instructions	Ineligible (Step 1) Claim 28	705/3 G06F 19/322	
		- Computer readable media			
Versata Development Group, Inc. v. SAP America, Inc., No. 2014-1194, - F.3d - (Fed. Cir. July 9,	6,553,350	Pricing products in multi-level product and organizational groups	Ineligible Claims 17 & 26-29	705/20 G06Q 20/201	
2015).		- Methods, system, and computer readable media			

Case Name & Citation	Patent(s) or App. No(s).	Title or General Subject Matter	Judicial Conclusion	Classification (USPC & CPC)	Where Discussed
Intellectual Ventures I	8,083,137	Administration of	Ineligible	235/380	Discusseu
LLC v. Capital One	7,603,382	financial accounts,	'137: claims 5-11	G06Q 20/12	
<i>Bank (USA)</i> , 792 F.3d		and advanced			
1363 (Fed. Cir. 2015).		internet interface	'382: claims 1-5,	707/999.104	
		providing user display access of	16, 17, & 19-22	G06F 17/30899	
		customized			
		webpages			
		- Methods and			
		Systems			
<i>In re Webb</i> , No. 2014-	12/429,724	Poker games with	Ineligible	273/292	
1652, Fed. Appx		varying position		A63F 3/00157	
(Fed. Cir. Jul. 1, 2015).*		advantage			
2013).		- Methods			
Internet Patents Corp.	7,707,505	Dynamic tabs for a	Ineligible	715/738	
v. Active Network, Inc.,		graphical user interface		G06F 17/30893	
790 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2015).		interface			
		- Methods, systems,			
		computer readable			
Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc.	6,258,540	<i>media</i> Non-invasive	Ineligible	435/6.12	
v. Sequenom, Inc., 788	0,230,340	prenatal diagnosis		C12Q 1/6879	
F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir.			Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 19-22, 24 & 25		
2015).		- Methods	·		
<u>OIP Technologies, Inc.</u> <u>v. Amazon.com, Inc.</u> ,	7,970,713	Automatic pricing in electronic commerce	Ineligible	705/400 G06Q 30/0211	
788 F.3d 1359 (Fed.		electronic commerce		0000 30/0211	
Cir. 2015).		- Methods and			
		computer readable			
<u>Federal Home Loan</u>	7,908,202	<i>media</i> Securitizing property	Ineligible	705/37	
Mortgage Corp. aka	7,685,053	into separately	All claims in '202	G06Q 30/06	
<u>Freddie Mac v.</u>	6,192,347	valued components	and '053		
Graff/Ross Holdings LLP, 604 Fed. Appx.		- Methods and		705/36R	
930 (Fed. Cir. 2015).*		Systems	'347: claims 101	G06Q 30/06	
Dietgoal Innovations	6,585,516	Computerized meal	and 102 Ineligible	434/127	Example 22
LLC v. Bravo Media	0,000,010	planning	incligible	101/12/	Lampic 22
<u>LLC,</u> 599 Fed. Appx.				G06F 19/3475	
956 (Fed. Cir. 2015).*		- Methods and Systems			
Gametek LLC v. Zynga	7,076,445	Obtaining advantages	Ineligible	705/14.12	
<i>Inc.</i> , 597 Fed. Appx.		and transacting the		G06Q30/02	
644 (Fed. Cir. 2015).*		same in a computer			
		gaming environment			
		- Methods			

Case Name	Patent(s) or	Title or General	Judicial	Classification	Where
& Citation	App. No(s).	Subject Matter	Conclusion	(USPC & CPC)	Discussed
<u>Fuzzysharp</u> <u>Technologies Inc. v.</u>	6,618,047	Visibility Calculations for 3D Computer	Ineligible	345/421 G06T15/40	
Intel Corporation, 595		Graphics	Claim 67	400115/10	
Fed. Appx. 996 (Fed.		- Method			
Cir. 2015).*	F 760 416		In all allal	202/100	
Content Extraction and Transmission LLC v.	5,768,416 5,258,855	Scanning and Information	Ineligible	382/180 G06K9/2054	
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.,	5,369,508	Processing		000000, 0000	
776 F.3d 1343 (Fed.	5,625,465	Methodology			
Cir. 2014).		- Methods and			
		machines			
		(interface/system)			
<u>Univ. of Utah Research</u> <u>Found. v Ambry</u>	5,747,282 5,753,441	Breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility	Ineligible	435/69.1 C07K14/4703	
Genetics Corp., 774	5,837,492	gene	'441: claims 1 & 7-	G0/K14/4/03	
F.3d 755 (Fed. Cir. 2014). Also known as		- Methods and	8 '282: claims 16-17		
In re BRCA1– and		products	'492: claims 29-30		
BRCA2–Based Hereditary Cancer Test			(See also Myriad		
Patent Litigation.			and Myriad CAFC)		
DDR Holdings, LLC v.	7,818,399	Expanding	Eligible	709/218	2014 IEG in
Hotels.com, L.P., 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir.		commercial opportunities for	Claims 1, 3, 19	G06Q30/06	Section IV, Example 2
2014).		internet websites			Example 2
		- Methods and system			
<u>Ultramercial, Inc. v.</u>	7,346,545	Payment of	Ineligible	705/14.73	2014 IEG in
Hulu, LLC, 772 F.3d	7,340,343	intellectual property	mengible	G06Q30/02	Section IV,
709 (Fed. Cir. 2014).		royalties by		,	Example 8
		interposed sponsor			
		over a telecommunications			
		network			
		- Methods			
buySAFE, Inc. v. Google,	7,644,019	Safe Transaction	Ineligible	705/35	2014 IEG in
<i>Inc.</i> , 765 F.3d 1350	.,0.1,017	Guaranty	Claims 1, 14, 39	G06Q10/10	Section IV,
(Fed. Cir. 2014).		- Methods and	and 44		Example 7
		computer readable			
		media			
Planet Bingo, LLC v	6,398,646	Storing preselected	Ineligible	463/19	2014 IEG in
<i>VKGS LLC</i> , 576 Fed. Appx. 1005 (Fed. Cir.	6,656,045	numbers for use in games of bingo		G07F17/32	Section IV, Example 6
2014).		- Methods and			Zampie o
		- Metnoas ana systems			
		5,500115			

Case Name & Citation	Patent(s) or App. No(s).	Title or General Subject Matter	Judicial Conclusion	Classification (USPC & CPC)	Where Discussed
Digitech Image Techs., LLC v Electronics for Imaging, Inc., 758 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014).	6,128,415	Device profiles for use in a digital image processing system - Device profile and methods	Ineligible Claims 1-6, 9-15, 26-31	382/276 G06T1/00	2014 IEG in Section IV, Example 5
In re Roslin Institute (Edinburgh), 750 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2014).	09/225,233	Cloned mammals produced by somatic cell nuclear transfer - Product	Ineligible Claims 155- 159 and 164	800/015	
Cyberfone Systems, LLC v. CNN Interactive Group, Inc., 558 Fed. Appx. 988 (Fed. Cir. 2014).	8,019,060	Telephone/transacti on entry device and system for entering transaction data into database - Methods and Systems	Ineligible	379/93.01 G06F17/243	2014 IEG in Section IV
SmartGene, Inc. v Advanced Biological Labs 555 Fed. Appx. 950 (Fed. Cir. 2014).	6,081,786 6,188,988	Systems, methods and computer program products for guiding the selection of therapeutic treatment regimens - Methods, Systems, Computer Program Products	Ineligible	705/3 G06F19/3443	2014 IEG in Section IV
Accenture Global Services, GmbH v. Guidewire Software, 728 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013).	7,013,284	Component based interface to handle tasks during claim processing - Methods and Systems	Ineligible	705/4 G06Q10/06311	
PerkinElmer Inc. v Intema Ltd., 496 Fed. Appx. 65 (Fed. Cir. 2012).	6,573,103	Antenatal screening for Down's syndrome - Methods	Ineligible	436/65 G01N33/689	
Association for Molecular Pathology v. USPTO, 689 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2012). ("Myriad CAFC")	6,033,857 5,753,441 5,747,282 5,710,001 5,709,999	Breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility gene - Methods This decision's ruling on product claims from various patents was subsequently affirmed-in-part and reversed-in-part by the Supreme Court. See Myriad, supra.	Ineligible '857: claims 1 & 2 '441: claim 1 '001: claim 1 '999: claim 1 Eligible '282: claim 20 (See also Myriad & Ambry Genetics)	435/69.1 C07K14/4703	

Case Name & Citation	Patent(s) or App. No(s).	Title or General Subject Matter	Judicial Conclusion	Classification (USPC & CPC)	Where Discussed
Bancorp Services v. Sun Life, 687 F.3d 1266, 1278 (Fed. Cir. 2012).	5,926,792 7,249,037	System for managing a stable value protected investment plan - Methods and Computer Readable Media	Tneligible '792: claims 9, 17, 18, 28, and 37 '037: claims 1, 8, 9, 17-21, 27, 28, 37, 42, 49, 52, 60, 63, 66-68, 72-77, 81-83, 87, 88, and 91-95	705/4 G06Q40/00	
Fort Properties, Inc. v. American Master Lease LLC, 671 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2012).	6,292,788	Methods and investment instruments for performing tax-deferred real estate exchanges - Methods	Ineligible	705/36T G06Q30/04	
Dealertrack Inc. v Huber, 674 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2012).	7,181,427	Automated credit application system - Methods	Ineligible Claims 1, 3, and 4	705/38 G06Q20/10	2014 IEG in Section IV
Classen Immunotherapies Inc. v. Biogen IDEC, 659 F.3d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 2011).	6,638,739 6,420,139 5,723,283	Method and composition for an early vaccine to protect against both common infectious diseases and chronic immune mediated disorders - Methods	Eligible All claims in '739 and '139 Ineligible All claims in '283	435/69.3 A61K39/295	
Cybersource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc., 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011).	6,029,154	Method and System for Detecting Fraud in a Credit Card Transaction over the Internet - Computer Readable Media and Method	Ineligible Claims 2-3	705/44 G06Q20/027	
Research Corporation Technologies Inc. v. Microsoft Corp., 627 F.3d 859 (Fed. Cir. 2010).	5,111,310 5,341,228	Method and Apparatus for Halftone Rendering of a Gray Scale Image Using a Blue Noise Mask - Methods	'310: Claims 1-2 '228: Claim 11	358/3.19 358/534 G06T3/40	2014 IEG in Section IV, Example 3

Case Name	Patent(s) or	Title or General	Judicial Conclusion	Classification	Where Discussed
& Citation SiRF Tech. Inc. v. Int'l Trade Commission, 601 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2010).	App. No(s). 6,417,801 6,937,187	Subject Matter Processing of GPS Signals - Methods	Eligible '801: Claims 1, 2, 11 '187: Claim 1	(USPC & CPC) 342/357.62 G01S5/0018	2014 IEG in Section IV, Example 4
In re Ferguson, 558 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2009).	09/387,823	New Paradigm for Bringing New Products to Market - Methods and "paradigm"	Ineligible	705/14	
In re Comiskey, 554 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 2009).	09/461,742	Method and System for Mandatory Arbitration - Methods and System	Ineligible Claims 1-14, 16, 32-43, and 45 (remanded for consideration of eligibility of other claims; application currently pending with amended claims)	705/1	
In re Grams, 888 F.2d 835, 12 U.S.P.Q.2d 1824 (Fed. Cir. 1989).	06/625,247	Method of Diagnosing an Abnormal Condition in an Individual - Methods	Ineligible	436/501	
In re Meyer, 688 F.2d 789, 215 U.S.P.Q. 193 (CCPA 1982).	05/465,574	Process and Apparatus for Identifying Locations of Probable Malfunctions - Methods and System	Ineligible	N/A	
In re Abele, 684 F.2d 902, 214 U.S.P.Q. 682 (CCPA 1982).	4,433,380 04/850,892	Tomographic Scanner - Methods and System	Ineligible Claims 5 and 7 of '892 application (not patent claims) Eligible Claims 6 and 33-47 of '892 application (note claim 6 is renumbered as claim 1 in '380 patent)	382/131 A61B6/032	
In re Maucorps, 609 F.2d 481, 203 U.S.P.Q. 812 (CCPA 1979).	05/536,839	Computer Systems for Optimizing Sales Organizations and Activities - System	Ineligible	N/A	

 $\ensuremath{^*}$ These cases were decided under Federal Circuit Rule 36, which provides for a judgment of affirmance without opinion.