

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CBS INTERACTIVE, INC., THE NEW YORK TIMES COMPANY, G4  
MEDIA, LLC, BRAVO MEDIA LLC,  
and PHEONIX NEWSPAPERS, INC  
Petitioner

v.

Patent of HELFRERICH PATENT LICENSING, LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2013-00033  
Patent 7,155,241

---

Mailed: October 29, 2012

Before Andrew Kellogg, *Trial Paralegal*

NOTICE OF FILING DATE ACCORDED TO PETITION and  
NOTICE FOR SETTING THE TIME PERIOD FOR FILING  
PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

The petition for *inter partes* review in the above proceeding has been  
accorded the filing date of October 25, 2012.

Administrative Patent Judge Joni Y. Chang has been designated to manage the proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.5.

Patent Owner may file a preliminary response to the petition no later than three months from the date of this notice. The preliminary response is limited to setting forth the reasons why the requested review should not be instituted. Patent Owner may also file an election to waive the preliminary response to expedite the proceeding. For more information, please consult the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012), which is available on the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>.

Patent Owner is advised of the requirement to submit mandatory notice information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2) within 21 days of service of the petition.

The parties are advised that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), recognition of counsel *pro hac vice* requires a showing of good cause. The parties are authorized to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Such motions shall be filed in accordance with the “Order -- Authorizing Motion *for Pro Hac Vice* Admission” in Case IPR2013-00010 (MPT), a copy of which is attached to this Notice.

The parties are reminded that unless otherwise permitted by 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2), all filings in this proceeding must be made electronically in the Patent Review Processing System (PRPS), accessible from the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>. To file documents, users must first obtain a user ID and password by registering with PRPS. Information regarding how to register with and use PRPS is available at the Board Web site.

Case IPR2013-00033

Patent 7,155,241

If there are any questions pertaining to this notice, please contact Andrew Kellogg at 571-272-5366 or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board at 571-272-7822.

**PETITIONER:**

Andrea G. Reister  
Covington & Burling LLP  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave, NW  
Washington, DC 20004

and

Gregory S. Discher  
Covington & Burling LLP  
1201 Pennsylvania Ave, NW  
Washington, DC 20004

**PATENT OWNER:**

JON E. KAPPES  
LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN G. LISA, LTD.  
C/O INTELLEVATE, LLC.  
P.O. BOX 52050  
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

and

JON E. KAPPES  
55 W. MONROE ST., SUITE 3200  
CHICAGO, IL 60603

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC  
Petitioner,

v.

Patent of MICHAEL ARNOUSE  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2013-00010 (MPT)  
Patent 7,516,484

---

Before JAMES DONALD SMITH, *Chief Administrative Patent Judge*, JAMES T. MOORE, *Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge*, MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, *Lead Administrative Patent Judge*,<sup>1</sup> and JAMESON LEE, SALLY G. LANE, SALLY C. MEDLEY, JONI Y. CHANG, THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, and BRIAN J. McNAMARA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TIERNEY, *Lead Administrative Patent Judge*.

**ORDER – AUTHORIZING MOTION FOR  
PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION – 37 C.F.R. § 42.10**

---

<sup>1</sup> Judge Tierney serves as lead judge of the Board's Trial Section.

Motorola Mobility, LLC (“Motorola”) has requested that the Board authorize a motion for *pro hac vice* admission. Petition, Paper 2 at 2. Specifically, Motorola requests that the Board authorize a motion for Steven D. Moore to appear *pro hac vice*. According to Motorola, Mr. Moore is an experienced litigating attorney and is familiar with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding as Mr. Moore is lead counsel in a concurrent litigation involving the same patent as that challenged in this proceeding.

Section 42.10(c) of the Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) provides that:

The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

The Board authorizes both parties to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) as follows:

1. *Time for Filing*

The time for filing *pro hac vice* motions is no sooner than twenty one (21) days after service of the petition, which is the time for filing patent owner mandatory notices. Parties seeking to oppose a motion for *pro hac vice* admission

must file their opposition no later than one week after the filing of the underlying motion. No reply to any opposition shall be filed unless authorized by the Board.

2. *Content of Motion*

A motion for *pro hac vice* admission must:

a. Contain a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during the proceeding.

b. Be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear attesting to the following:

i. Membership in good standing of the Bar of at least one State or the District of Columbia;

ii. No suspensions or disbarments from practice before any court or administrative body;

iii. No application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body ever denied;

iv. No sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body;

v. The individual seeking to appear has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the C.F.R.;

vi. The individual will be subject to the USPTO Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 10.20 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);

vii. All other proceedings before the Office for which the individual has applied to appear *pro hac vice* in the last three (3) years; and

viii. Familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

c. Where the affiant or declarant is unable to provide any of the information requested above in part 2(b) or make any of the required statements or representations under oath, the individual should provide a full explanation of the circumstances as part of the affidavit or declaration.

Case IPR2013-00010

Patent 7,516,484

PETITIONER:

Ko-Fang Chang

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

1080 Marsh Road

Menlo Park, CA 94025

PATENT OWNER:

IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER LLP (US)

One Liberty Place

1650 Market St., Suite 4900

Philadelphia, PA 19103