# **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

**As of:** 11/23/20 10:18 AM Received: November 18, 2020

Status: Posted

Posted: November 20, 2020 Tracking No. 1k4-9k62-idf9

Comments Due: December 03, 2020

**Submission Type:** API

**Docket: PTO-C-2020-0055** 

Request for Comments on Discretion to Institute Trials Before the Patent Trial and Appeal

Board

Comment On: PTO-C-2020-0055-0001

Discretion to Institute Trials Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board

**Document:** PTO-C-2020-0055-0459

Comment from Michael Smith

### **Submitter Information**

Name: Michael Smith

Address:

691 Ione ave ne Mpls, MN, 55432 Email: Connsm@aol.com **Phone:** 6127996994

Organization: Bullseye Hitch

## **General Comment**

e) These provisions should govern all petitions absent a showing of extraordinary circumstances approved by the Director, Commissioner, and Chief Judge.

#### III: PROCEEDINGS IN OTHER TRIBUNALS

- a) The PTAB should not institute duplicative proceedings.
- b) A petition should be denied when the challenged patent is concurrently asserted in a district court against the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner and the court has neither stayed the case nor issued any order that is contingent on institution of review.
- c) A petition should be denied when the challenged patent is concurrently asserted in a district court against the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner with a trial is scheduled to occur within 18 months of the filing date of the petition.
- d) A petition should be denied when the challenged patent has been held not invalid in a final determination of the ITC involving the petitioner, real party in interest, or privy of the petitioner.

#### IV: PRIVY

- a) An entity who benefits from invalidation of a patent and pays money to a petitioner challenging that patent should be considered a privy subject to the estoppel provisions of the
- b) Privy should be interpreted to include a party to an agreement with the petitioner or real party of interest related to the validity or infringement of the patent where at least one of the parties to the agreement would benefit from a finding of unpatentability.

#### V: ECONOMIC IMPACT

Regulations should account for the proportionally greater harm to independent inventors and small businesses posed by institution of an AIA trial, to the extent it harms the economy and integrity of the patent system, including their financial resources and access to effective legal representation.

Protecting the original inventors time, work, and effort is crucial and encourages innovation and discovery.